arXiv:1406.1538v4 [math.PR] 14 Aug 2015

# Fractional Hida Malliavin Derivatives and Series Representations of Fractional Conditional Expectations

**Sixian Jin**[1], **Qidi Peng**[2], **Henry Schellhorn**[3]

**Abstract**

We represent fractional conditional expectations of a functional of fractional Brownian motion as a convergent series in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ space. When the target random variable is some function of a discrete trajectory of fractional Brownian motion, we obtain a backward Taylor series representation; when the target functional is generated by a continuous fractional filtration, the series representation is obtained by applying a "frozen path" operator and an exponential operator to the functional. Three examples are provided to show that our representation gives useful series expansions of ordinary expectations of target random variables.

**Keywords:** Fractional Brownian motion ; Malliavin calculus ; fractional Hida Malliavin derivative ; fractional Clark-Hausmann-Ocone formula
**MSC:** 60G15 ; 60G22 ; 60H07

## 1 Introduction

Fractional Brownian motion (fBm), nowadays considered as one of the most natural extensions of the classical Brownian motion (Bm), was first introduced by Kolmogorov in 1940 [13]. It is popularized by Mandelbrot and Van Ness [16] in 1968, by being introduced to mathematical finance, to take into account the effect of exogenous arrivals. Today, the mathematical analysis and applications of fBms have advanced enormously in a variety of fields and at all levels, such as stock returns modeling, fractal geometry, signal processing, geology, geography and statistical biology, etc. FBm is almost applicable in every domain where one can apply Bm. However, unlike Bm, some new mathematical issues arise when replacing Bm by such an extension, which is mainly due to the complex covariance structure of its increments. For example, fBm has correlated increments for $H \neq 1/2$. This results that evaluating conditional expectations of functions or functionals of fBm $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a notoriously difficult problem. Grippenberg and Norros [8] provided a technical and difficult approach to calculate the conditional mean of fBm. Fink et al. [5] also addressed this problem when studying the price of a zero-coupon bond in a fractional bond market. Since fBm is generally not a Markov process, both authors restricted themselves to calculate conditional expectations given the current value of $B_t^H$, and not given the whole path of $B_t^H$ preceding $t$.

[1]Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Claremont Graduate University, Sixian.Jin@cgu.edu
[2]Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Claremont Graduate University, Qidi.Peng@cgu.edu
[3]Corresponding author, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Claremont Graduate University, Henry.Schellhorn@cgu.edu

This paper presents a different and original way to evaluate expectations of functionals of fBm. It is based on the Malliavin calculus with respect to fBm as presented in Biagini et al. [4], Chapter 2 and Chapter 3. Since the 70's, Malliavin calculus plays an important role in analysis on the Wiener space as well as in the study on stochastic differential equations. The main advantage of Malliavin calculus is that, it allows to give sufficient conditions for the distribution of a random variable to have a smooth (differentiable) density with respect to Lebesgue measure and to give bounds for this density and its derivatives. With this technique, one of the main fruits from Malliavin calculus is the fractional conditional expectation and its representation by Clark-Hausmann-Ocone formula (see e.g. [4]). By using the so-called fractional Clark-Hausmann-Ocone formula, our first main result represents the fractional conditional expectations of functions of fBm's discrete trajectory as a convergent series in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$. Our second main result is more general under a different sufficient condition for the convergence. It is obtained from the fact that, the fBm has the "martingale" property under fractional conditional expectation. This property leads to an exponential expansion of the fractional conditional expectations. The latter result partially extends the work of Schellhorn and Jin [12], who proved this representation for conditional expectations of a functional of Bm. It is worth noting that, the fBm can be divided into three very different classes according to the values of $H > 1/2$, $H = 1/2$ and $H < 1/2$. When $H > 1/2$, the corresponding fBm is persistent, which means that its increments are positively correlated (the increase of the increments is likely to be followed by another increase). A huge number of phenomena can be modeled in terms of this class of processes, such as the level of the optimum dam sizing, the logarithm of the stock return and financial turbulence [16]. As in [4], Chapter 3, we only consider the case where $H > 1/2$ in this work. We remark that a similar study can be done for classes of fBm with $H < 1/2$ for the future.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some definitions, notations and known results on Malliavin calculus related to fBm, which are needed for the next sections. In Section 3, we present our two main results, which are i) a generalization to fBm of the backward Taylor expansion obtained in [12], and ii) the exponential formula itself. We note that both series representations can be used both for numerical applications and for solving some algebraic problems. In section 4, we show 3 applications of the exponential formula, respectively to the fractional Merton model of interest rates, to a special case of the fractional Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model of interest rates, and to the characteristic function of geometric fBm.

# 2 Preliminaries

## 2.1 Fractional Brownian Motion

A real-valued standard fBm can be defined independently and equivalently using a moving average representation [16] and a harmonizable representation [20]. In fact, up to a multiplicative scaling factor, fBm is the unique Gaussian, self-similar, with stationary increments process. Therefore, a standard fBm can be defined from the uniqueness of its covariance structure:

**Definition 2.1** *A standard fBm $\{B_t^H\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ with Hurst index $H \in (0,1)$ is the unique centered Gaussian process with almost surely continuous non-differentiable sample path and with covariance function: for any $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$E\left[B_s^H B_t^H\right] = \frac{1}{2}\Big(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\Big).$$

Without any loss of generality, we restrict the fBm to nonnegative-time process and denote the corresponding probability space by $\left(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t^H)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}, \mathbb{P}^H\right)$, where $(\mathcal{F}_t^H)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ is the natural filtration generated by fractional Wiener chaos (see e.g. [4], Page 49) and $\mathbb{P}^H$ is the corresponding probability measure. Again, as an assumption, we let $H > 1/2$ in the remaining of the paper.

## 2.2 Fractional Hida Malliavin Derivative

Let $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H) := L^2(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t^H)_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}, \mathbb{P}^H)$ denote a Hilbert space of random variables $F$ equipped with the norm

$$\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} := \sqrt{E|F|^2} < +\infty.$$

We indicate by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+) := \Big\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) : \text{ for any } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \ \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}_+} \Big| x^\alpha \frac{\mathrm{d}^\beta f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x^\beta} \Big| < +\infty \Big\},$$

where $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denotes a space of continuously infinitely differentiable real-valued functions. We equip $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with the following inner product: for any $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_H := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(s)\, g(t)\, \varphi_H(s,t)\ \mathrm{d}s\, \mathrm{d}t,$$

where $\varphi_H(s,t) := H(2H-1)|s-t|^{2H-2}$ for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Denote by $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ under the norm $\|f\|_{H,\mathbb{R}_+} := \sqrt{\langle f, f\rangle_H}$. This is a separable Hilbert space of deterministic functions. Note that fBm is an isonormal process and, if two functions $f, g \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the stochastic integrals with respect to fBm $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H$ are well defined, zero mean, Gaussian random variables with covariance

$$Cov\Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H, \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H \Big) = \langle f, g \rangle_H .$$

Let $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denote the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is also called the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (see e.g. [19] or [4]). Note that by the Bochner-Milos theorem (see e.g. [4, 9, 14]), the probability measure $\mathbb{P}^H$ is the one which allows

$$B_t^H :=< B^H, \chi_{[0,t]} >:= \int_0^t 1\,\mathrm{d}B_u^H$$

to be an element in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$.

For the purpose of presenting an element $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ using convergent Taylor series, we first introduce the notion of fractional Hida Malliavin derivative (see Definition 3.3.1 in [4]).

**Definition 2.2 (Fractional Hida Malliavin Derivative)** *Given an operator $G : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+) \to \mathbb{R}$ and some $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$. $G$ is said to have a directional derivative in the direction $\gamma$ if, for all $\nu \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$, there exists an element $X_{\nu,\gamma}$ in the fractional Hida distribution space $(\mathcal{S})^*_H$ (see Definition 3.1.10 in [4]), such that*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{G(\nu+\epsilon\gamma) - G(\nu)}{\epsilon} = X_{\nu,\gamma}, \ \textit{in} \ (\mathcal{S})^*_H .$$

*We say $G$ is fractional Hida Malliavin differentiable if there exists a map $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+) \to (\mathcal{S})^*_H$ such that for all $\nu \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\Psi(\cdot, \nu)\gamma(\cdot)$ is $(\mathcal{S})^*_H$-integrable and*

$$X_{\nu,\gamma} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Psi(t, \nu)\gamma(t)\, \mathrm{d}t$$

*for all $\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then we set, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$D^H_t G(\nu) := \Psi(t, \nu)$$

*and we call $D^H_t G(\nu)$ the fractional Hida Malliavin derivative with order $H$ of $G$ on $\nu$ at $t$.*

Remark that the fractional Hida Malliavin derivative with respect to fBm extends the classical one (see [18]) with respect to Bm. Also remark that, since $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H) \subset (\mathcal{S})^*_H$ (see Definition 3.1.10 in [4]), we will mainly focus on the fractional Hida Malliavin derivative defined on $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ in the sequel.

It is useful to note that the fractional Hida Malliavin derivative possesses some nice properties similar to the classical derivatives. For example, the chain rule is still valid: if $G(\nu) = H\left(B^H_{t_1}, ..., B^H_{t_n}\right)$, with $H : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ being some deterministic differentiable function, then for $t \geq 0$,

$$D^H_t G(\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}\left(B^H_{t_1}, ..., B^H_{t_n}\right)\chi_{[0,t_i]}(t). \tag{2.1}$$

Now we introduce some other important properties of fractional Hida Malliavin derivative that we will need to construct the convergent series of fractional conditional expectations. The most interesting one is fractional Clark-Hausmann-Ocone formula, which generalizes the classical Clark-Hausmann-Ocone formula. The following statements as well as notations are helpful to the introduction of this formula.

**Theorem 2.3 (Itô decomposition, see [4], Page 82)** *Let $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, then $F$ has the following representation via fractional Wick Itô Skorohod integral (FWISI): there exists a sequence of deterministic functions $f_n \in \hat{L}^2_{\varphi_H}\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+\right)$ such that*

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} I_n(f_n) \ \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{P}^H) \text{ with } \|F\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n!\,\|f_n\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}^n_+},$$

*where*

- *the sequence of multiple FWISI $(I_n(f_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is defined in [4], Page 81;*
- *$\hat{L}^2_{\varphi_H}\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+\right)$ denotes the subspace of symmetric functions in $L^2_{\varphi_H}\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+\right)$;*
- *$\|f_n\|_{H,\mathbb{R}^n_+}$ is the norm defined by*

$$\|f_n\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}^n_+} := n! \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}_+} f_n(u_1, \ldots, u_n) f_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_H(u_i, v_i)(\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes n}(\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes n},$$

*with*

$$(\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes n} := \mathrm{d}u_n\, \mathrm{d}u_{n-1} \ldots \mathrm{d}u_1. \tag{2.2}$$

**Definition 2.4** *We define $h_n$, the Hermite polynomial of degree $n \geq 0$, by $h_0 \equiv 1$ and for $n \geq 1$,*

$$h_n(x) = (-1)^n \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}$$

*Remark that the sequence $\{h_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is equivalently defined as solutions of the following equation, valid for all $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$\exp\left(tx - \frac{t^2}{2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} h_n(x). \tag{2.4}$$

**Proposition 2.5** *Let $f \in \hat{L}^2_{\varphi_H}\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+\right)$, then the multiple FWISI $I_n(f)$ exists and is given as*

$$I_n(f) = n! \int_{0\leq s_1 \leq \ldots \leq s_n < +\infty} f(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \, \mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes n},$$

*where we denote by*

$$\mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes n} := \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_n} \, \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_{n-1}} \ldots \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_1}. \tag{2.5}$$

*In particular, if there exists $g \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $f(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = g(s_1) \ldots g(s_n)$ for all $(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, then*

$$I_n(f) = \|g\|^n_{H,\mathbb{R}_+} \, h_n\left(\frac{\int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \, \mathrm{d}B^H_s}{\|g\|_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}}\right),$$

*where the norm $\|g\|_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}$ equips the space $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.*

As a special case, when taking $f = \chi_{[t,T]^n}$ with $0 \leq t < T$ in Proposition 2.5, we obtain

$$\int_{t\leq s_1 \leq \ldots \leq s_n \leq T} 1 \, \mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes n} = \frac{(T-t)^{nH}}{n!} h_n\left(\frac{B^H_T - B^H_t}{(T-t)^H}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

From now on, the FWISI of a continuous-time stochastic process $\{X(s)\}_{s\geq 0}$ over any time interval $[a, b]$, is denoted by $\int_a^b X(s) \, \mathrm{d}B^H_s$.

**Definition 2.6 (Fractional Conditional Expectation)** *Let $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ be represented as (such an expansion exists, due to Definition 3.10.1 in [4])*

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} g_n(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \, \mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes n},$$

*with some sequence of functions $g_n \in \hat{L}^2_{\varphi_H}\left(\mathbb{R}^n_+\right)$. Then for $t \geq 0$, we define the fractional conditional expectation of $F$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}^H_t$ by*

$$\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_t\right] := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{[0,t]^n} g_n(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \, \mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes n}. \tag{2.7}$$

**Remarks:** Though different from conditional expectation, fractional conditional expectation has some properties, which are similar to those of classical conditional expectation:

1. For all $t \geq 0$, $\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_t\right]$ is $\mathcal{F}^H_t$-measurable. Vice versa, if $F$ is $\mathcal{F}^H_t$-measurable, $\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_t\right] = F$.

2. For any $s,t \geq 0$,
$$\tilde{E}\left[\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_s^H\right]|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right] = \tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_{\min\{s,t\}}^H\right]. \tag{2.8}$$

3. From (2.7), for all $t \geq 0$, $\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right]$ is $\mathcal{F}_t^H$-measurable. By virtue of Lemma 3.10.5 1) in [4] and (2.14), (2.22) in [1] (by taking $r = 0$), one has,
$$\left\|\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right]\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \leq \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}. \tag{2.9}$$
This inequality yields that, if $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, then $\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right] \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$.

4. Under the transform $\tilde{E}[\cdot|\mathcal{F}_t^H]$, one recovers a property very similar to that of classical martingale. Although fBm is generally not a martingale, it is shown that, for $0 \leq t \leq T$, $\tilde{E}\left[B_T^H|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right] = B_t^H$ $\mathbb{P}^H$-a.s..

The following theorem, given in [4], extends the Clark-Hausmann-Ocone Formula from Bm to fBm.

**Theorem 2.7 (Fractional Clark-Hausmann-Ocone Formula)** *Fix $T > 0$, let the random variable $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ be $\mathcal{F}_T^H$- measurable and Hida Malliavin differentiable, then $\tilde{E}\left[D_t^H F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right] \in L^2\left(\mathbb{P}^H\right)$ for all $t \in [0,T]$ and*
$$F = E[F] + \int_0^T \tilde{E}\left[D_t^H F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right] \mathrm{d}B_t^H.$$

# 3 Main Results

## 3.1 Series Representation via Backward Taylor Expansion

**Definition 3.1** *Fix $T > 0$. We call $\mathbb{D}_{\infty,T}^H$ the set of $\mathcal{F}_T^H$-measurable random variables which are infinitely fractional Hida Malliavin differentiable. Moreover, for any integer $n \geq 1$,*
$$E\Big[\sup_{s_1,\ldots,s_n\in[0,T]}\left|D_{s_n}^H \ldots D_{s_1}^H F\right|\Big]^2 < +\infty.$$
*To simplify notation, we denote by $D_u^{H,0} = Id$ (identity function) and by $D_u^{H,k} = \underbrace{D_u^H \circ \ldots \circ D_u^H}_{k-tuple}$ the $k$-th composition of the fractional Hida Malliavin derivative.*

**Definition 3.2** *Let $F \in \mathbb{D}_{\infty,T}^H$. Assume $F = H(B_{t_1}^H, \ldots, B_{t_J}^H)$ for some integer $J \geq 1$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_{J-1} < t_J = T$. $H : \mathbb{R}^J \to \mathbb{R}$ is an infinitely differentiable deterministic function. For $j \in \{1,\ldots,J\}$ and $r \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$, let $\{\psi_k^{(r,t_j)}(F)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence given as:*
$$\psi_0^{(r,t_j)}(F) = F; \tag{3.1}$$
*and for $k \geq 1$, $\psi_k^{(r,t_j)}(F)$ equals*
$$2^{-k} \sum_{\sum_{l=1}^J q_l = k} \prod_{i=1}^J \left(\frac{\left(|t_j - t_{i-1}|^{2H} - |t_j - t_i|^{2H} + |t_i - r|^{2H} - |r - t_{i-1}|^{2H}\right)^{q_i}}{q_i!}\right) \times D_{t_1}^{H,q_1} \ldots D_{t_J}^{H,q_J} F. \tag{3.2}$$

Our first main result is given by the following theorem, where a sufficient condition is provided, such that the fractional backward Taylor expansion of the fractional conditional expectation of $F$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$:

**Assumption** $(\mathcal{A})$**:** Let $F$ be given as in Definition 3.2. For some $r \in [0,T]$, assume $F$ satisfies the following:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \left\| \sup_{\sum_{j=1}^{J} w_j = 2N-i} \left| D_{t_1}^{H,w_1} \dots D_{t_J}^{H,w_J} F \right| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \binom{N}{i}^2 \frac{(i!)^{1/2}(N+J-1)!}{2^{N-i}(N!)^2} \times \left(T^{2H} - r^{2H} + (T-r)^{2H}\right)^{N-i} (T-r)^{iH} \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

Contrary to appearance, this condition is not difficult to check in practice. For example, any $F$ verifying

$$\left\| \sup_{\sum_{j=1}^{J} w_j = N} \left| D_{t_1}^{H,w_1} \dots D_{t_J}^{H,w_J} F \right| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \leq c N^{(1/4-\epsilon)N}$$

for some $c > 0$, some $\epsilon \in (0, 1/4]$ and for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ will satisfy Assumption $(\mathcal{A})$. Because if so, by taking

$$c_1 = \frac{T^{2H} - r^{2H} + (T-r)^{2H}}{2} \text{ and } c_2 = T - r,$$

the finite partial sum in Assumption $(\mathcal{A})$ can be upper bounded by the following item:

$$\begin{aligned}
& c \sum_{i=0}^{N} \binom{N}{i}^2 \frac{(i!)^{1/2}(N+J-1)!}{(N!)^2} (2N-i)^{(1/4-\epsilon)(2N-i)} c_1^{N-i} c_2^i \\
& \leq c \binom{N}{\lceil N/2 \rceil}^2 \frac{(N!)^{1/2}(N+J-1)!(2N)^{(1/2-2\epsilon)N}}{(N!)^2} \sum_{i=0}^{N} c_1^{N-i} c_2^i \\
& = c \frac{(N!)^{1/2}(N+J-1)!(2N)^{(1/2-2\epsilon)N} c_1^N}{(\lceil N/2 \rceil !)^2((N - \lceil N/2 \rceil)!)^2} \frac{1 - (c_2 c_1^{-1})^{N+1}}{1 - c_2 c_1^{-1}} \\
& \sim \frac{(2\pi N)^{1/4}(N/e)^{N/2}\sqrt{2\pi(N+J-1)}((N+J-1)/e)^{N+J-1}}{\pi^2 N^2 (N/(2e))^{2N}} (2N)^{(1/2-2\epsilon)N} c_1^N \frac{1 - (c_2 c_1^{-1})^N}{1 - c_2 c_1^{-1}} \\
& \sim \frac{N^{J-9/4} c_3^N}{N^{2\epsilon N}} \xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling number (the smallest integer upper bound); $c_3 > 0$ is some proper constant; and the last approximation is due to the Stirling's approximation.

**Theorem 3.3 (Fractional Backward Taylor Expansion)** *Let $F$ satisfy Assumption $(\mathcal{A})$. Define*

$$I_r := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \in [0, t_1] \\ i, & \text{if } r \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq J \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

*and $(\tilde{t}_{I_r-1}, \tilde{t}_{I_r}, \dots, \tilde{t}_J) := (r, t_{I_r}, \dots, t_J)$. Then the following series is convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H\right] = & \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^l \sum_{q_{I_r}+\dots+q_J=l} \sum_{i_{I_r}=0}^{q_{I_r}} \dots \sum_{i_J=0}^{q_J} \prod_{k=I_r}^{J} \frac{(-1)^{i_k} (\tilde{t}_k - \tilde{t}_{k-1})^{(q_k - i_k)H}}{(q_k - i_k)!} \\
& \times \left( h_{q_{I_r}-i_{I_r}} \left( \frac{B_{\tilde{t}_{I_r}}^H - B_{\tilde{t}_{I_r-1}}^H}{(\tilde{t}_{I_r} - \tilde{t}_{I_r-1})^H} \right) \psi_{i_{I_r}}^{(\tilde{t}_{I_r-1}, \tilde{t}_{I_r})} \right) \circ \dots \circ \left( h_{q_J - i_J} \left( \frac{B_{\tilde{t}_J}^H - B_{\tilde{t}_{J-1}}^H}{(\tilde{t}_J - \tilde{t}_{J-1})^H} \right) \psi_{i_J}^{(\tilde{t}_{J-1}, \tilde{t}_J)} \right) \\
& (D_{t_{I_r}}^{H,q_{I_r}} \dots D_{t_J}^{H,q_J} F).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

*In particular, when* $F = H(B_T^H)$,

$$\tilde{E}\big[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H\big] = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty}(-1)^l \sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{(-1)^k\,(T-r)^{(l-k)H}}{(l-k)!} h_{l-k}\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_r^H}{(T-r)^H}\right)\psi_k^{(r,T)}(D_T^{H,l}F). \quad (3.5)$$

The proof is provided in the appendix. To see in a concrete way how to present this convergent series for $\tilde{E}\big[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H\big]$, we take the following two examples.

**Example 3.4** *Consider the random variable* $e^{\sigma B_T^H}$ *with* $T,\ \sigma > 0$. *One can easily check that it verifies Assumption* $(\mathcal{A})$. *We determine* $\tilde{E}\big[e^{\sigma B_T^H}|\mathcal{F}_r^H\big]$ *for* $r \in [0,T]$.

By using (3.5),

$$\tilde{E}\big[e^{\sigma B_T^H}|\mathcal{F}_r^H\big] = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{(-1)^{l+k}\,(T-r)^{(l-k)H}}{(l-k)!} h_{l-k}\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_r^H}{(T-r)^H}\right)\psi_k^{(r,T)}(D_T^{H,l}e^{\sigma B_T^H}). \quad (3.6)$$

Notice, from (3.2), that for all $l \geq 0$ and all $0 \leq k \leq l$,

$$\psi_k^{(r,T)}(D_T^{H,l}e^{\sigma B_T^H}) = \frac{(T^{2H}-r^{2H})^k}{k!}\sigma^{k+l}e^{\sigma B_T^H}. \quad (3.7)$$

It follows by (3.6), (3.7) and (2.4) that

$$\tilde{E}\big[e^{\sigma B_T^H}|\mathcal{F}_r^H\big] = e^{\sigma B_T^H}\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(-\sigma(T-r)^H)^l}{l!}\sum_{k=0}^{l}\binom{l}{k}\left(-\frac{\sigma(T^{2H}-r^{2H})}{(T-r)^H}\right)^k h_{l-k}\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_r^H}{(T-r)^H}\right). \quad (3.8)$$

By the following property of Hermite polynomials (due to a Taylor expansion): for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l}\binom{l}{k}x^k h_{l-k}(y) = h_l(x+y),$$

one obtains

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l}\binom{l}{k}\left(-\frac{\sigma(T^{2H}-r^{2H})}{(T-r)^H}\right)^k h_{l-k}\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_r^H}{(T-r)^H}\right) = h_l\left(\frac{-\sigma(T^{2H}-r^{2H}) + B_T^H - B_r^H}{(T-r)^H}\right). \quad (3.9)$$

Finally, it results from (3.8), (3.9) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}\big[e^{\sigma B_T^H}|\mathcal{F}_r^H\big] &= e^{\sigma B_T^H}\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(-\sigma(T-r)^H)^l}{l!}h_l\left(\frac{-\sigma(T^{2H}-r^{2H}) + B_T^H - B_r^H}{(T-r)^H}\right)\\ &= e^{\sigma B_r^H + \frac{\sigma^2(T^{2H}-r^{2H})}{2}}. \quad (3.10)\end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.5** *Consider* $F = (B_t^H)^2 B_T^H$ *for some fixed* $T > 0$ *and* $t \in [0,T)$. *Below we provide a backward Taylor expansion of* $\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H]$ *with* $r \in [0,T]$.

$F$ is polynomial of fBms, therefore Assumption $(\mathcal{A})$ is verified for all $t \in [0,T)$. By the chain rule (2.1), one gets

$$D_t^H F = 2B_t^H B_T^H + (B_t^H)^2;\ D_t^{H,2}F = 2B_T^H + 4B_t^H;\ D_t^{H,3}F = 6;\ D_t^{H,l}F = 0 \text{ for } l \geq 4;$$
$$D_T^H F = (B_t^H)^2;\ D_T^{H,l}F = 0 \text{ for } l \geq 2;\ D_t^H D_T^H F = D_T^H D_t^H F = 2B_t^H;\ D_T^H D_t^{H,2}F = 2.$$

Using Theorem 3.3 and elementary calculus leads to: if $r \in [0,t]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H\right] = F - (t-r)^H h_1\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) D_t^H F + \psi_1^{(r,t)}(D_t^H F)\\
&-(T-t)^H h_1\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_t^H}{(T-t)^H}\right) D_T^H F + \psi_1^{(t,T)}(D_T^H F)\\
&+\frac{(t-r)^{2H}}{2!} h_2\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) D_t^{H,2} F - (t-r)^H h_1\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) \psi_1^{(r,t)}(D_t^{H,2} F)\\
&+(t-r)^H (T-t)^H h_1\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) h_1\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_t^H}{(T-t)^H}\right) D_t^H D_T^H F\\
&-(T-t)^H \psi_1^{(r,t)}\left(h_1\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_t^H}{(T-t)^H}\right) D_T^H D_t^H F\right)\\
&-(t-r)^H h_1\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) \psi_1^{(t,T)}(D_t^H D_T^H F) - \frac{(t-r)^{3H}}{3!} h_3\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) D_t^{H,3} F\\
&-\frac{(t-r)^{2H}(T-t)^H}{2!} h_2\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) h_1\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_t^H}{(T-t)^H}\right) D_t^{H,2} D_T^H F\\
&+(t-r)^H (T-t)^H h_1\left(\frac{B_t^H - B_r^H}{(t-r)^H}\right) \psi_1^{(r,t)}\left(h_1\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_t^H}{(T-t)^H}\right) D_t^{H,2} D_T^H F\right)\\
&= (B_r^H)^3 + B_r^H\left(T^{2H} + 2t^{2H} - 3r^{2H} - (T-t)^{2H}\right); \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

if $r \in (t,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H\right] &= F - (T-r)^H h_1\left(\frac{B_T^H - B_r^H}{(T-r)^H}\right) D_T^H F + \psi_1^{(r,T)}(D_T^H F)\\
&= B_r^H (B_t^H)^2 + B_t^H\left(T^{2H} - r^{2H} - (T-t)^{2H} + (r-t)^{2H}\right). \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to remark that the results from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) are consistent with the classical conditional expectation with respect to standard Bm. That's because the function $H \longmapsto \tilde{E}[G(B^H)|\mathcal{F}_t^H]$ is in fact continuous over $[0,+\infty)$ (see Definition 4.1.2 in [4]). This fact also shows the backward Taylor expansion in Theorem 3.3 naturally extends the one with respect to Bm (see [12]).

Note that backward Taylor expansion can be useful when the random variable $F$ is in terms of a discretized fBm trajectory. However, it fails to represent $\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H]$ when $F$ is functional of fBm, such as $F = \int_0^t f(u)\,\mathrm{d}B_u^H$. An alternative method is an approximation from its discretization: if the maximum length in the partition $\max_{i,j\in\{1,\ldots,J\},\ i\neq j} |t_i - t_j|$ is small enough, one can roughly approximate $\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H]$ numerically by the series given in (3.4).

Our second main result is more general, but under a different sufficient condition for convergence. It does give series representation of $F$ when it is functional of continuous trajectories of fBm. From the fact that $\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H]$ is $\mathcal{F}_t^H$-measurable, one can imagine that the latter value only depends on the trajectories $\{B_s^H\}_{s\in[0,t]}$, no matter how ill-behaved are the trajectories $\{B_s^H\}_{s\in(t,+\infty)}$. This fact inspires us to originally introduce the "frozen path" operator. More precisely, the series representation given in Theorem 3.9 below can be used to numerically evaluate a fractional conditional expectation by following a single typical path backward. It is thus an economical alternative to Monte Carlo simulation, in case where the fractional Hida Malliavin derivatives are not expensive to calculate numerically.

## 3.2 Series Representation via Exponential Formula

The "frozen path" operator plays a key role in our second main result: exponential formula of fractional conditional expectations.

**Definition 3.6** *Recall that for $t \geq 0$, the action of the element $B^H\chi_{[0,t]} \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ on $f \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as*

$$< B^H\chi_{[0,t]}, f >:= \int_0^t f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H.$$

*For any $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, let $F = G(B^H)$, where $G$ is the operator defined in Definition 2.2. The "frozen path" operator $\gamma^t : L^2(\mathbb{P}^H) \to L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ is defined as:*

$$F(\gamma^t) := G(B^H\chi_{[0,t]}).$$

Note that for $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, the operator $G$ such that $F = G(B^H)$ always exists, as shown in Theorem 3.1.8 in [4]. Now we explain why the "frozen path" operator is well-defined. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of polynomial cylindrical random variables of the form

$$F = p\left(\int_0^{+\infty} f_1(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H, \ldots, \int_0^{+\infty} f_n(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H\right), \tag{3.13}$$

with $p \in \mathcal{P}$ (set of polynomials) and $f_i \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The "frozen path" operator $\gamma^t : \mathcal{P} \to L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ is thus well-defined as, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$F(\gamma^t) = p\left(\int_0^t f_1(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H, \ldots, \int_0^t f_n(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H\right).$$

Here it is obvious that $\gamma^t$ is linear and the function $t \longmapsto F(\gamma^t)$ is continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Since the set of all random variables of the form in (3.13) is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ (see e.g. Page 27 in [18] and Page 37 in [4]) and the following proposition shows the "frozen path" operator $\gamma^t$ is continuous from $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, therefore one can naturally extend the domain of "frozen path" operator from $\mathcal{P}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, by preserving the above linearity and continuity. The proof of the key proposition below is given in the appendix.

**Proposition 3.7** . *Let $(F_M)_{M\geq 1}$ and $F$ belong to $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$. If $F_M \to F$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ as $M \to +\infty$, then for any $t \geq 0$,*

$$F_M(\gamma^t) \xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} F(\gamma^t).$$

**Remark 3.8** *Assume that $F$ is $\mathcal{F}_T^H$-measurable, it is clear that $F = G(B^H) = G(B^H\chi_{[0,T]})$ and $F(\gamma^t) = G(B^H\chi_{[0,\min\{T,t\}]})$ is $\mathcal{F}_t^H$-measurable.*

In view of Proposition 3.7, it is not difficult to explicitly compute $F(\gamma^t)$ in most cases. We provide some examples to show how to obtain "frozen paths" of $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ random variables:

1. Denote by $p(B_{t_1}^H, B_{t_2}^H, \ldots, B_{t_n}^H)$ a polynomial of fBm. Set $T \geq \max\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$, define

$$G(B^H) = p\left(\int_0^T \chi_{[0,t_1]}(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H, \ldots, \int_0^T \chi_{[0,t_n]}(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H\right),$$

then for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\left(p(B_{t_1}^H, \ldots, B_{t_n}^H)\right)(\gamma^t) = p(B_{\min\{t_1,t\}}^H, \ldots, B_{\min\{t_n,t\}}^H).$$



Figure 1: Simulation of the frozen path of $\{X_1(s) = \int_0^s B_u^H \, \mathrm{d}B_u^H\}_{s\geq 0}$, with $H = 0.8$, frozen at time $t$=0.5.



Figure 2: Simulation of the frozen path of $\{X_2(s) = s + \int_0^s B_u^H \, \mathrm{d}u\}_{s\geq 0}$, with $H = 0.55$, frozen at time $t$=0.5.

2. Let $f \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By using Riemann-Stieltjes integral and Proposition 3.7, for all $t, T \geq 0$,
$$\left(\int_0^T f(s) \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H\right)(\gamma^t) = \int_0^{\min\{t,T\}} f(s) \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H.$$

3. For $F = \int_0^T B_s^H \, \mathrm{d}s$. One has $F = G(B^H) = \int_0^T \int_0^s \, \mathrm{d}B_u^H \, \mathrm{d}s$, and for all $t \in [0, T]$,
$$\left(\int_0^T B_s^H \, \mathrm{d}s\right)(\gamma^t) = \int_0^T \int_0^{\min\{s,t\}} \mathrm{d}B_u^H \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t B_s^H \, \mathrm{d}s + B_t^H(T - t).$$

4. Since $\int_0^T B_s^H \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H$ is of type FWISI, it is incorrect to define $G(B^H)$ as $G(B^H) = \int_0^T \int_0^s \, \mathrm{d}B_u^H \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H$. However, the Itô formula shows $\int_0^T B_s^H \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H = ((B_T^H)^2 - T^{2H})/2$, then
$$\left(\int_0^T B_s^H \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H\right)(\gamma^t) = \frac{\left(B^H_{\min\{T,t\}}\right)^2 - T^{2H}}{2}.$$

5. For a general element $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, $F(\gamma^t)$ can be approximated by some sequence of smooth functions
$$\left\{S_n\left(\int_0^t f_1^{(n)}(s) \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H, \ldots, \int_0^t f_n^{(n)}(s) \, \mathrm{d}B_s^H\right)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$$
in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, where $S_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_k^{(n)} \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. This is thanks to Proposition 3.7 and the fact that the linear span of smooth functionals of fBms is another dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$.

In the illustrations Figure 1 and Figure 2, we show how the "frozen" path operator influences the trajectories of stochastic processes.

**Assumption ($\mathcal{B}$):** Let $F \in \mathbb{D}^H_{\infty,T}$. Assume that for some $r \in [0, T]$, the following condition holds:
$$\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(T^{2H} - r^{2H})^i}{2^i i!} \left\| \sup_{u_{2i},\ldots,u_1 \in [0,T]} \left| \left(D^H_{u_{2i}} \ldots D^H_{u_1} F\right)(\gamma^r) \right| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} < +\infty.$$

**Theorem 3.9 (Exponential Formula)** *For $r \in [0, T]$ and $v \in [r, T]$, define the operator $\mathcal{A}_{v,r} : L^2(\mathbb{P}^H) \to L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ by:*
$$\mathcal{A}_{v,r}(F) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^T D_u^H D_v^H F \varphi_H(u, v) \, \mathrm{d}u + \int_0^r D_u^H D_v^H F \varphi_H(u, v) \, \mathrm{d}u\right). \tag{3.14}$$

*Then under Assumption $(\mathcal{B})$, the following series converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$:*

$$\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \int_{r\le v_1\le\ldots\le v_i\le T} \left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}, \tag{3.15}$$

*where by convention, the first item in the series is $F(\gamma^r)$.*

We emphasize the fact that the integrand of the right-hand side of (3.15) must be evaluated along the path where its driving fBm is frozen at $r$. Below is a quick application of Theorem 3.9.

**Example 3.10** *Consider $F = (B_t^H)^2 B_T^H$ for some fixed $T > 0$ and $t \in [0,T]$.*

By definition, $F$ is $\mathcal{F}_T^H$-measurable and for $r \in [0,t]$, $F(\gamma^r) = (B_r^H)^3$. Basic computation shows, for any $u, v \in [0,T]$,

$$\left(D_u^H D_v^H F\right)(\gamma^r) = 2B_r^H\left(\chi_{[0,t]}(v)\chi_{[0,t]}(u) + \chi_{[0,t]}(v)\chi_{[0,T]}(u) + \chi_{[0,T]}(v)\chi_{[0,t]}(u)\right).$$

And then by means of Theorem 3.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H\right] &= F(\gamma^r) + \frac{1}{2}\int_r^T \left(\int_0^T + \int_0^r\right)\left(D_u^H D_v^H F\right)(\gamma^r)\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v \\ &= (B_r^H)^3 + B_r^H\left(T^{2H} + 2t^{2H} - 3r^{2H} - (T-t)^{2H}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This result agrees with (3.11).

Remark that, instead of the backward Taylor expansion, the fractional conditional expectation of the form $F = H(B_{t_1}^H, \ldots, B_{t_j}^H)$ can be also presented via exponential formula, however sometimes it is less obvious to use exponential formula than to use backward Taylor expansion to find the explicit form of $\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H]$. Moreover, backward Taylor expansion is more convenient to use for numerical approximation purpose since it is series of polynomials, while exponential formula contains integrals.

Since $\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_0^H] = E[F]$ (see (6.2) in the appendix), then one advantage of exponential formula is that it sometimes allows to evaluate expectation of $F$ in an extremely simple way, provided the fractional Malliavin derivatives of $F$ are explicitly known. We motivate this method by giving some real world's applications.

# 4 Applications

## 4.1 Fractional Merton Model of Interest Rates

Suppose that the interest rate follows a particular simple version of the Merton model: assume $H > 1/2$, for $s \in [0,T]$,

$$r(s) = B_s^H.$$

The integral $\int_0^T B_s^H\,\mathrm{d}s$ is defined as a Riemann sum, and the classical result shows

$$\int_0^T B_s^H\,\mathrm{d}s \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{T^{2H+2}}{2H+2}\right).$$

Hence, by using Gaussian moment generating function, the bond price $P(0,T)$ can be directly computed out as

$$P(0,T) = E\left[\exp\left(\int_0^T B_s^H\,\mathrm{d}s\right)\right] = \exp\left(\frac{T^{2H+2}}{4H+4}\right).$$

We show that this result can be independently obtained by using exponential formula. Note that, applying the exponential formula on $\exp(\int_0^t B_s^H \,\mathrm{d}s)$ leads to the same result. To this end, let $F = \exp\big(\int_0^T B_s^H \,\mathrm{d}s\big)$, it is easy to check that $F$ is $\mathcal{F}_T^H$-measurable and satisfies Assumption $(\mathcal{B})$. Observe that

$$\big(D_u^H D_v^H F\big)\left(\gamma^0\right) = \big(T-u\big)\big(T-v\big),$$

and then for all integer $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T} \left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,0}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,0}F\right)\left(\gamma^0\right)\left(\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^{\otimes i} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^i}\int_{0\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T}\int_{[0,T]^i}\prod_{k=1}^{i}(T-u_k)(T-v_k)\varphi_H(u_k,v_k)\left(\,\mathrm{d}u\right)^{\otimes i}\left(\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^{\otimes i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the function $\int_{[0,T]^i}\prod_{k=1}^{i}(T-u_k)(T-v_k)\varphi_H(u_k,v_k)\left(\,\mathrm{d}u\right)^{\otimes i}$ is symmetric with respect to $v_1,\ldots,v_i$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T} \left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,0}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,0}F\right)\left(\gamma^0\right)\left(\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^{\otimes i} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^i i!}\int_{[0,T]^{2i}}\prod_{k=1}^{i}(T-u_k)(T-v_k)\varphi_H(u_k,v_k)\left(\,\mathrm{d}u\right)^{\otimes i}\left(\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^{\otimes i} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^i i!}\left(\int_0^T\int_0^T(T-u)(T-v)\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^i \\
&= \frac{1}{2^i i!}\left(\frac{T^{2H+2}}{2H+2}\right)^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by applying Theorem 3.9, we obtain:

$$P(0,T) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{2^i i!}\left(\frac{T^{2H+2}}{2H+2}\right)^i = \exp\left(\frac{T^{2H+2}}{4H+4}\right).$$

## 4.2 Fractional Cox-Ingersoll-Ross Model of Interest Rates: A Special Case

Consider a particular case of fractional Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model of interest rates: for $H > 1/2$ and $s \in [0,T]$,

$$r(s) = (B_s^H)^2.$$

Let $F = \exp(-\int_0^T r(s)\,\mathrm{d}s)$, our major goal is to compute $E[F]$. By classical calculus, for any $0 \leq u,v,s \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_v^H F &= -F\int_0^T D_v^H r(s)\,\mathrm{d}s = -2F\int_v^T B_s^H\,\mathrm{d}s; \\
D_u^H D_v^H F &= F\int_0^T D_u^H r(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\int_0^T D_v^H r(s)\,\mathrm{d}s - F\int_0^T D_u^H D_v^H r(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&= 4F\int_u^T B_s^H\,\mathrm{d}s\int_v^T B_s^H\,\mathrm{d}s - 2F\big(T-\max(u,v)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $r(0) = 0$, then imposed to the "frozen path" operator $\gamma^0$, one has,

$$F(\gamma^0) = e^{-\left(\int_0^T (B_s^H)^2 \,\mathrm{d}s\right)(\gamma^0)} = 1;\ (D_u^H D_v^H F)(\gamma^0) = -2\big(T - \max(u,v)\big).$$

Similarly one shows

$$\begin{aligned}\left(D_{u_2}^H D_{v_2}^H D_{u_1}^H D_{v_1}^H F\right)(\gamma^0) &= 4\Big(\big(T - \max(u_1, v_2)\big)\big(T - \max(u_2, v_1)\big) \\ &\quad + \big(T - \max(u_1, u_2)\big)\big(T - \max(v_1, v_2)\big) \\ &\quad + \big(T - \max(u_1, v_1)\big)\big(T - \max(u_2, v_2)\big)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Then applying Theorem 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned} E[F] &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int_0^T \left(D_u^H D_v^H F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\int_{[0,T]^3\times[v_1,T]} \left(D_{u_2}^H D_{v_2}^H D_{u_1}^H D_{v_1}^H F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)\,\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1 \\ &\quad + o(T^{4H+2}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2H+1}T^{2H+1} + \left(\frac{8H^2+18H+5}{4(2H+1)^2(4H+1)} - \frac{\mathcal{B}(2H+1, 2H+2)}{2H+1}\right)T^{4H+2} \\ &\quad + o(T^{4H+2}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the beta function, i.e. $\mathcal{B}(x,y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}\,\mathrm{d}t$, for all $x, y > 0$. This result provides a numerical approximation of $E[F]$, as $T \to 0$. The computations of the first two items on the right hand-side of the series in (4.2) are straightforward. However, the third item needs more efforts to obtain. This result is original. We put the technical computation of the third item in the appendix.

Again, note that, although we have assumed $H > 1/2$, the expressions of expected values obtained in the previous two examples match the case $H = 1/2$ (see [21]), which generalize the results obtained from standard Bm.

### 4.3 Series Representation of Characteristic Function of Geometric FBM

In this example, we show an application of the exponential formula without satisfying Assumption $(\mathcal{B})$. For $T > 0$ and $H > 1/2$, let the geometric fBm

$$X_T = e^{\sigma B_T^H} \text{ and } F = e^{izX_T},\ \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Recall that $X_T$ is a lognormal random variable. We denote its distribution by $lognormal(0, T^{2H}\sigma^2)$, equivalently, $\log X_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, T^{2H}\sigma^2)$. Then $E[F]$ is the characteristic function of some lognormal random variable $X_T$ evaluated at $z$. It is known in the literature that there is no convergent Taylor series representation of $E[F]$, due to the fact that the lognormal distribution does not only depend on its probability moments. For example, Holgate [10] showed there is no unique determination of the lognormal distribution only by its moments. Thus, there has been a number of attempts to present the lognormal characteristic functions by divergent power series, which are sufficient for generating moments, e.g. we refer to [10, 3, 2, 15]. Now we provide a new divergent power series representation of $E[F]$ using Theorem 3.9. Notice that Assumption $(\mathcal{B})$ is no longer satisfied, however the series representation by Theorem 3.9 is still of many interests.

We have, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and all $0 \le u_j, v_j \le T$ :

$$D^H_{u_j} D^H_{v_j} F = F\sigma^2\big((iz)^2 e^{2\sigma B^H_T} + ize^{\sigma B^H_T}\big).$$

By induction,

$$D^H_{u_n} D^H_{v_n} \dots D^H_{u_1} D^H_{v_1} F = F\sigma^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} b_{2n,k} (iz)^k e^{k\sigma B^H_T},$$

where the sequence $\{b_{j,k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the following recursive formula,

$$b_{j,0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and for } j \ge k \ge 1, \ \begin{cases} & b_{j,j} = b_{j,1} = 1, \\ & b_{j,k} = k b_{j-1,k} + b_{j-1,k-1}. \end{cases}$$

We complete the sequence $\{b_{j,k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ by setting $b_{j,k} = 0$ for all $0 \le j < k$. Thus we claim that it is in fact a sequence of Stirling numbers of the second kind (see for instance [15] for its definition and properties). We then denote by $b_{j,k} = \left\{ {j \atop k} \right\}$. Therefore by Theorem 3.9 and the fact that $\int_{[0,T]^n} \prod_{l=1}^n \varphi_H(u_l, v_l)(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes n}$ is symmetric with respect to $v_1, \dots, v_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2^n} \int_{0\le v_1 \le \dots \le v_n \le T} \int_{[0,T]^n} (D^H_{u_n} D^H_{v_n} \dots D^H_{u_1} D^H_{v_1} F)(\gamma^0) \prod_{l=1}^n \varphi_H(u_l, v_l)(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes n} (\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes n} \\
&= e^{iz} \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \left\{ {2n \atop k} \right\} \int_{0\le v_1 \le \dots \le v_n \le T} \int_{[0,T]^n} \prod_{l=1}^n \varphi_H(u_l, v_l)(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes n} (\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes n} \\
&= e^{iz} \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sigma^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \left\{ {2n \atop k} \right\} (iz)^k \int_{[0,T]^n} \int_{[0,T]^n} \prod_{l=1}^n \varphi_H(u_l, v_l)(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes n} (\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes n} \\
&= \frac{T^{2nH}}{2^n n!} \sigma^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \left\{ {2n \atop k} \right\} e^{iz} (iz)^k,
\end{aligned}$$

and the power series representation of $E[F]$ is given as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2})^n}{n!} \left\{ {2n \atop k} \right\} e^{iz} (iz)^k. \tag{4.3}$$

The series (4.3) is divergent for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ due to the large order of the Stirling number of second kind. However, this series representation can be used to evaluate all the moments of $F$, more precisely, by the following relation: for $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E[X_T^p] = (-i)^p \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2})^n}{n!} i^k \left\{ {2n \atop k} \right\} \frac{\mathrm{d}^p (e^{iz} z^k)}{\mathrm{d}z^p}\Big|_{z=0}. \tag{4.4}$$

This interesting result provides a new divergent representation of the lognormal distribution's characteristic function using power series. In order to justify this result, we calculate the moments of $X_T$: $E[X_T^p]$ for $p = 1, 2, \dots$ and compare them with the existing results (see e.g. [15] for Mellin transform of lognormal distribution):

$$E[X_T^p] = \exp\left( p^2 \frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2} \right).$$

Observe that, basic algebraic computation shows for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^p(e^{iz}z^k)}{\mathrm{d}z^p}\Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{l=0}^{p} i^{p-l}\frac{p!}{(p-l)!}\delta_l(k),$$

where $\delta$ denotes the Dirac measure by: $\delta_l(l) = 1$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\delta_l(k) = 0$ for $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \neq k$. Now we take a processing similar to the previous computation and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\frac{(\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2})^n}{n!}\left\{\begin{matrix}2n\\k\end{matrix}\right\} i^k\frac{\mathrm{d}^p(e^{iz}z^k)}{\mathrm{d}z^p}\Big|_{z=0}\\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\frac{(\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2})^n}{n!}\left\{\begin{matrix}2n\\k\end{matrix}\right\} i^k\left(\sum_{l=0}^{p} i^{p-l}\frac{p!}{(p-l)!}\delta_l(k)\right)\\
&= i^p\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2})^n}{n!}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{p}\frac{p!}{(p-l)!}\left\{\begin{matrix}2n\\l\end{matrix}\right\}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the property of generating function of the Stirling number of the second kind, we remark that the following relation holds (see [7]) for any $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p}\frac{p!}{(p-l)!}\left\{\begin{matrix}2n\\l\end{matrix}\right\} = p^{2n}.$$

It results from (4.4) that

$$(-i)^p\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\frac{(\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2})^n}{n!}i^k = (-i)^p i^p\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2}p^2)^n}{n!} = \exp\left(p^2\frac{T^{2H}\sigma^2}{2}\right).$$

Equivalently, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $E[X_T^p] = \exp(p^2T^{2H}\sigma^2/2)$, which verifies the presentation of characteristic function given in (4.3) and independently provides another strong demonstration of Theorem 3.9.

**Corollary 4.1** *More generally, if $X \sim lognormal(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$, the power series representation of the characteristic function of $X$, $E[e^{izX}]$, can be computed similarly by means of Theorem 3.9 as:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\frac{(\frac{\sigma^2}{2})^n}{n!}\left\{\begin{matrix}2n\\k\end{matrix}\right\} e^{ize^{\mu}}(ize^{\mu})^k.$$

# 5 Conclusion and Future Work

We provide two series representations of fractional conditional expectations of functionals of fBm: backward Taylor expansion and exponential formula. We also provide three examples of applications. The first example is on the fractional Merton model of interest rates, where the series expansion for the bond price can be simplified into a regular exponential, whereas the latter is a well-known result. Our next two examples are original results. We show how to calculate the first terms of the series of a bond price in a fractional interest rates model with time-dependent volatility and are able to approximate the bond price numerically. We also provide a new series representation of the moment generating function of geometric fBm, which leads to having solved a problem of Fourier transform using power series representation.

So far, our examples only deal with smooth functionals of fBm. As an open problem, it will be interesting, in the future, to develop an approach to represent the "frozen path" of multiple FWISI then give some genius applications of exponential formulas. Also remark that we have not yet addressed the problem of calculating classical conditional expectation of a functional of fBm. This work is also quite interesting and meaningful. We note that the methodology developed by Fournié et al. [6] for Bm reduces the problem to evaluating two expectations, and is also applicable to fBm with $H > 1/2$. We do not provide explicit results in that case, and leave this work for future research.

# 6 Appendix

## 6.1 Proof of Theorem 3.3

One needs the following lemmas to prove Theorem 3.3. These lemmas, as properties of fractional conditional expectations, generalize the existing results for conditional expectations.

**Lemma 6.1** *Let $F \in L^2\left(\mathbb{P}^H\right)$. For any $t \geq 0$, one has*

$$E\left[\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right]\right] = E[F]. \tag{6.1}$$

*In particular,*

$$\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_0^H\right] = E\left[F\right] \ \mathbb{P}^H\text{-}a.s.. \tag{6.2}$$

**Proof of Lemma 6.1.** For $f \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, define the exponential function

$$\varepsilon\left(f\right) := \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f\left(t\right) \mathrm{d}B_t^H - \frac{1}{2}\left\|f\right\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}\right). \tag{6.3}$$

According to Theorem 3.1.4 in [4], the set of linear span of $\varepsilon\left(f\right)$'s is dense in $L^2\left(\mathbb{P}^H\right)$. Thus it suffices to prove Lemma 6.1 for $F = \varepsilon\left(f\right)$ then argue with $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$-convergence. Observe that $\varepsilon\left(f\right)$ has the following series representation (we refer to the following statements in [4]: Corollary 3.9.3, Lemma 3.9.2 and Theorem 3.9.7):

$$\varepsilon\left(f\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f\left(s_1\right) ... f\left(s_n\right) \mathrm{d}\left(B_s^H\right)^{\otimes n}.$$

Thus by definition, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\tilde{E}\left[\varepsilon\left(f\right)|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0,t]^n} f\left(s_1\right) ... f\left(s_n\right) \mathrm{d}\left(B_s^H\right)^{\otimes n} \varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,t]}\right). \tag{6.4}$$

Recall that, according to Lemma 3.1.3 in [4], we have, for all $g \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $E[\varepsilon(g)] = 1$. Since $f$, $f\chi_{[0,t]} \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, (6.1) holds for $F = \varepsilon(f)$:

$$E\big[\tilde{E}[\varepsilon(f)|\mathcal{F}_t^H]\big] = E\big[\varepsilon(g)\big] = 1.$$

Now we prove that (6.1) holds for all $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$. For arbitrary $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, there exists a sequence $(f_k^{(n)})_{n\geq 1, 1\leq k\leq n}$ in $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and a sequence of real values $(c_k^{(n)})_{n\geq 1, 1\leq k\leq n}$, such that

$$E\Big|F - \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k^{(n)} \varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\Big|^2 \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

Recall the inequality that for any two square integrable random variables $X, Y$,

$$\big|E[X]-E[Y]\big| \le E\big|X-Y\big| \le \sqrt{E\big|X-Y\big|^2}. \tag{6.5}$$

As a consequence,

$$\Big|E[F]-E\Big[\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\Big]\Big| \le \sqrt{E\Big|F-\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\Big|^2} \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

Namely,

$$E[F]=\lim_{n\to+\infty} E\Big[\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\Big]=\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}E[\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})]=\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}. \tag{6.6}$$

The latter limit exists, because $F\in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$. On the other hand, by using the linearity of the fractional conditional expectation and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\Big|\tilde{E}\big[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\big]-\tilde{E}\Big[\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\big|\mathcal{F}_t^H\Big]\Big|^2 = E\Big|\tilde{E}\Big[F-\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\big|\mathcal{F}_t^H\Big]\Big|^2\\
&\le E\Big|F-\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\Big|^2 \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (6.5) implies

$$E\big[\tilde{E}\big[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\big]\big]=\lim_{n\to+\infty}E\Big[\tilde{E}\Big[\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})\big|\mathcal{F}_t^H\Big]\Big]=\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^{(n)}=E[F]. \tag{6.7}$$

Therefore (6.1) follows from (6.6) and (6.7). In order to obtain (6.2), it is sufficient to take $t=0$ in (6.1) then one notices that $\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_0^H]$ is degenerated to some constant. □

The following lemma extends Proposition 1.2.4 in [18] from Bm to fBm.

**Lemma 6.2** *Let $F\in L^2\left(\mathbb{P}^H\right)$ be fractional Hida Malliavin differentiable. For any $t,u\ge 0$, one has*

$$D_u^H\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right]=\tilde{E}\left[D_u^HF|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right]\chi_{[0,t]}(u). \tag{6.8}$$

**Proof of Lemma 6.2.** Along the same line as we proved Lemma 6.1, we first show (6.8) holds for any $\varepsilon(f)$ defined in (6.3), then extend it to linear combinations of $\varepsilon(f)$'s. On the one hand, it follows by (6.4) and the chain rule that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_u^H\tilde{E}\left[\varepsilon(f)|\mathcal{F}_t\right] &= e^{\int_0^t f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H-\frac{1}{2}\left\|f\chi_{[0,t]}\right\|_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}^2}D_u^H\Big(\int_0^t f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H\Big)\\
&= \varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,t]})f(u)\chi_{[0,t]}(u).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

On the other hand, again by the chain rule and (6.4), one gets

$$\tilde{E}\left[D_u^H\varepsilon(f)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]=\tilde{E}\left[\varepsilon(f)f(u)\big|\mathcal{F}_t^H\right]=\varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,t]})f(u). \tag{6.10}$$

Then (6.8) holds for $F=\epsilon(f)$, thanks to (6.9) and (6.10). Similar to the proof of (6.1), by the denseness of the linear span of $\epsilon(f)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, one can show (6.8) also holds for all fractional Hida Malliavin differentiable $F\in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$. □

**Definition 6.3** *Let $F \in \mathbb{D}^H_{\infty,T}$. For $0 \le r < b \le T$, define the sequence $\left\{ \left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,k} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v \right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ by:*

$$\left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,0} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v := F; \tag{6.11}$$

*and for $k \ge 1$,*

$$\left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,k} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v := \int\limits_{[r\le v_k \le \ldots \le v_1 \le b]\times[0,T]^k} D^H_{u_k} \ldots D^H_{u_1} F \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi_H(u_i, v_i) \right) (\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes k} (\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes k}, \tag{6.12}$$

*where $(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes k}$ and $(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes k}$ are defined as in (2.2).*

**Lemma 6.4 (Iterated Fractional Integration)** *Let $F \in \mathbb{D}^H_{\infty,T}$. For any $0 \le r < b \le T$ and any integer $n \ge 1$:*

$$\int\limits_{r\le s_1 \le \ldots \le s_n \le b} F \,\mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes n} = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-1)^i (b-r)^{(n-i)H}}{(n-i)!} h_{n-i}\left( \frac{B^H_b - B^H_r}{(b-r)^H} \right) \left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,i} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v, \tag{6.13}$$

*where $h_{n-i}(x)$ is the Hermite polynomial of degree $n-i$ defined in (2.3).*

**Proof of Lemma 6.4.**
For simplifying notation we define, for any integer $n \ge 1$,

$$a_n := \int_{r\le s_1 \le \ldots \le s_n \le b} F \,\mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes n}.$$

We prove Equation (6.13) by induction. When $n = 1$, since $F \in \mathbb{D}^H_{\infty,T}$, then by (2.33) in [4], the facts that $h_0 \equiv 1$, $h_1 = Id$ and definitions (6.11), (6.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \int_r^b F \,\mathrm{d}B^H_s \\
&= F \int_r^b \mathrm{d}B^H_s - \int_r^b \int_0^T D^H_u F \varphi_H(u,v) \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v \\
&= (b-r)^H h_1\left( \frac{B^H_b - B^H_r}{(b-r)^H} \right) F - \left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,1} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{(-1)^i (b-r)^{(1-i)H}}{(1-i)!} h_{1-i}\left( \frac{B^H_b - B^H_r}{(b-r)^H} \right) \left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,i} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v.
\end{aligned}$$

Now assume that (6.13) holds for $a_k$ with some integer $k \ge 1$, then it follows from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_k &= \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(-1)^i (b-r)^{(k-i)H}}{(k-i)!} h_{k-i}\left( \frac{B^H_b - B^H_r}{(b-r)^H} \right) \left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,i} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \left( \int_{r\le s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k-i} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes(k-i)} \right) \left( \int_r^b \right)^{H,i} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Then for $n = k+1$, we write $a_{k+1}$ as

$$a_{k+1} = \int_r^b \left( \int_{s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k+1} \le b} F \, \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes k} \right) \mathrm{d}B_{s_1}^H. \tag{6.15}$$

(6.15) together with induction hypothesis (6.14) yields

$$a_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i I_i,$$

where for $i \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$,

$$I_i = \int_r^b \left( \left( \int_{s_1}^b \right)^{H,i} F \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}v \left( \int_{s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k-i+1} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k-i)} \right) \right) \mathrm{d}B_{s_1}^H. \tag{6.16}$$

Applying Fubini theorem and applying again integration by parts of type for iterated stochastic integrals, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &= \int\limits_{[r \le v_i \le \ldots \le v_1 \le b] \times [0,T]^i} \int_r^{v_i} \left( D_{u_i}^H \ldots D_{u_1}^H F \int_{s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k-i+1} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k-i)} \right) \mathrm{d}B_{s_1}^H \\ &\qquad \times \varphi_H(u_i, v_i) \ldots \varphi_H(u_1, v_1) \, (\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes i} (\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i} \\ &= I_{i,1} + I_{i,2}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0,1} &= F \int_{r \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k+1} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k+1)}; \qquad (6.17) \\ I_{0,2} &= -\int_r^b \int_0^T D_{u_1}^H F \int_{v_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k+1} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes k} \varphi_H(u_1, v_1) \, \mathrm{d}u_1 \, \mathrm{d}v_1. \end{aligned}$$

And for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{i,1} &= \int\limits_{[r \le v_i \le \ldots \le v_1 \le b] \times [0,T]^i} D_{u_i}^H \ldots D_{u_1}^H F \int_r^{v_i} \int_{s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k-i+1} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k-i)} \, \mathrm{d}B_{s_1}^H \\ &\qquad \times \varphi_H(u_i, v_i) \ldots \varphi_H(u_1, v_1) \, (\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes i} (\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}; \qquad (6.18) \\ I_{i,2} &= -\int\limits_{[r \le v_i \le \ldots \le v_1 \le b] \times [0,T]^i} \Big( \int_r^{v_i} \int_0^T D_{u_{i+1}}^H \ldots D_{u_1}^H F \int_{v_{i+1} \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k-i+1} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k-i)} \\ &\qquad \times \varphi_H(u_{i+1}, v_{i+1}) \, \mathrm{d}u_{i+1} \, \mathrm{d}v_{i+1} \Big) \varphi_H(u_i, v_i) \ldots \varphi_H(u_1, v_1) \, (\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes i} (\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i} \\ &= -\int\limits_{[r \le v_{i+1} \le \ldots \le v_1 \le b] \times [0,T]^{i+1}} D_{u_{i+1}}^H \ldots D_{u_1}^H F \int_{v_{i+1} \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k-i+1} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k-i)} \\ &\qquad \times \varphi_H(u_{i+1}, v_{i+1}) \ldots \varphi_H(u_1, v_1) \, (\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes(i+1)} (\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes(i+1)}. \qquad (6.19) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, on one hand,

$$a_{k+1} = I_{0,1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \left( I_{i,2} - I_{i+1,1} \right) + (-1)^k I_{k,2}. \tag{6.20}$$

On the other hand, by the expressions of $I_{i,1}$ in (6.18) and $I_{i,2}$ in (6.19), we have, for $i \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$,

$$I_{i,2} - I_{i+1,1} = -\left(\int_r^b\right)^{H,i+1} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v \left(\int_{r \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k-i} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k-i)}\right). \tag{6.21}$$

Also notice that the last item in (6.20) is

$$I_{k,2} = -\left(\int_r^b\right)^{H,k+1} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v. \tag{6.22}$$

It results from (6.20), (6.21), (6.17), (6.22) that

$$a_{k+1} \quad = \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \left(\int_{r \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \ldots \le s_{k+1-i} \le b} \mathrm{d}(B_s^H)^{\otimes(k+1-i)}\right) \left(\int_r^b\right)^{H,i} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v.$$

Finally by (2.6), we obtain

$$a_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^i (b-r)^{(k+1-i)H}}{(k+1-l)!} h_{k+1-i} \left(\frac{B_b^H - B_r^H}{(b-r)^H}\right) \left(\int_r^b\right)^{H,i} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v,$$

which shows (6.13) holds for $n = k+1$. Therefore Lemma 6.4 holds for all integer $n \ge 1$. □

**Lemma 6.5** *Let $F$ be given in Definition 3.2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in [t_{j-1}, t_j)$,*

$$\left(\int_r^{t_j}\right)^{H,k} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v = \psi_k^{(r,t_j)}(F), \tag{6.23}$$

*where $\psi_k^{(r,t_j)}(F)$ is defined in (3.1) and (3.2).*

**Proof of Lemma 6.5.** When $k = 0$, (6.23) is trivial. When $k \ge 1$, by using the fact that $F = H(B_{t_1}^H, \ldots, B_{t_J}^H)$ and (2.1), one has, for $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in [0, T]$,

$$D_{u_k}^H D_{u_{k-1}}^H \ldots D_{u_1}^H F = D_{t_1}^{H,\sharp\{u_i : u_i \in [0,t_1],\ i=1,\ldots,k\}} \ldots D_{t_J}^{H,\sharp\{u_i : u_i \in (t_{J-1},t_J],\ i=1,\ldots,k\}} F, \tag{6.24}$$

where $\sharp\{\cdot\}$ denotes the cardinality of set. It follows from (6.12), (6.24), the multinomial theorem, (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_r^{t_j}\right)^{H,k} F \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v = \frac{1}{k!} \int_{[r,t_j]^k \times [0,t_J]^k} D_{u_k}^H \ldots D_{u_1}^H F \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi_H(u_i, v_i) \,(\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes k} \,(\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes k} \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^J q_i = k} \binom{k}{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_J} D_{t_1}^{H,q_1} \ldots D_{t_J}^{H,q_J} F \prod_{i=1}^J \left(\int_r^{t_j} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \varphi_H(u,v) \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v\right)^{q_i} \\
&= \psi_k^{(r,t_j)}(F),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

where $\binom{k}{q_1,q_2,\ldots,q_J} := \frac{k!}{q_1! q_2! \ldots q_J!}$ denotes multinomial coefficient. □

Before introducing the next lemma, to simplify notation, for some proper stochastic process $X := \{X(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}^N}$, we indicate its multiple FWISI by

$$\delta^N(X) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} X(s_1, \ldots, s_N) \,\mathrm{d}\left(B_s^H\right)^{\otimes N}.$$

The following technical lemma makes an identification of $E[\delta^N(\mathcal{H}_N)^2]$. It is a particular case of Equation (2.12) in [17]. More than the latter reference, we provide an explicit form of the norm of tensor product of the Hilbert space $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})$.

**Lemma 6.6** *For any symmetric stochastic process $X := \{X(s)\}_{s\in\mathbb{R}^N}$ satisfying Assumption $(\mathcal{A})$, we have*

$$E[\delta^N(X)^2] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \binom{N}{i}^2 i! E \left\| D^{N-i} X \right\|^2_{L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)^{\otimes(2N-i)}},$$

*where $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})^{\otimes(2N-i)}$ denotes the $(2N-i)$th tensor product of $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., $\left\| D^{N-i} X \right\|^2_{L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})^{\otimes(2N-i)}}$ is given as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| D^{N-i} X \right\|^2_{L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})^{\otimes(2N-i)}} \\
&:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N-2i}} \left( D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}} X(s_1,\dots,s_N) \prod_{r=1}^{N-i} \varphi_H(s'_r, x_r) (\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)} (\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes(N-i)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( D^{H,N-i}_{y_1,\dots,y_{N-i}} X(s'_1,\dots,s'_N) \prod_{r=1}^{N-i} \varphi_H(s_r, y_r) (\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)} (\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes(N-i)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{r=1}^{i} \varphi_H(s_{N-i+r}, s'_{N-i+r}) \, \mathrm{d}s_N \dots \mathrm{d}s_{N-i+1} \, \mathrm{d}s'_N \dots \mathrm{d}s'_{N-i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Here we denote by $D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}} := D^H_{x_1} \dots D^H_{x_{N-i}}$; $(\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)} := \mathrm{d}x_{N-i} \dots \mathrm{d}x_1$.*

Lemma 6.6 can be obtained without much effort by induction with initial step (which develops the deterministic space $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})$ to space of stochastic processes, see (3.41) in [4]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X\|^2_{L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} X(s)X(t)\varphi_H(s,t)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_H(s,v) D^H_v X(t)\,\mathrm{d}v \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_H(t,u) D^H_u X(s)\,\mathrm{d}u \right) \mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we omit its proof.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** Let $r \in [0,T]$ be fixed. Since $\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_r\right]$ is $\mathcal{F}^H_r$-measurable, then by the fractional Clark-Hausmann-Ocone formula given in Theorem 2.7,

$$\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_r\right] = E\left[\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_r\right]\right] + \int_0^r \tilde{E}\left[D^H_{s_1} \tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_r\right] |\mathcal{F}^H_{s_1}\right] \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_1}.$$

Since $r \le T$, by applying Lemma 6.2, Lemma 6.1 and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_r\right] \\
&= \left( E\left[\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_T\right]\right] + \int_0^T \tilde{E}\left[D^H_{s_1} F|\mathcal{F}^H_{s_1}\right] \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_1} \right) - \int_r^T \tilde{E}\left[D^H_{s_1} F|\mathcal{F}^H_{s_1}\right] \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_1} \\
&= \tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_T\right] - \int_r^T \tilde{E}\left[D^H_{s_1} F|\mathcal{F}^H_{s_1}\right] \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_1} \\
&= F - \int_r^T \tilde{E}\left[D^H_{s_1} F|\mathcal{F}^H_{s_1}\right] \mathrm{d}B^H_{s_1}, \qquad (6.26)
\end{aligned}$$

because $F$ is $\mathcal{F}^H_T$-measurable. Repeatedly using the Clark-Hausmann-Ocone formula $n$ times in (6.26) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}^H_r\right] &= F - \int_r^T D^H_{s_1} F \,\mathrm{d}B^H_s \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=2}^{N-1} (-1)^l \int_r^T \int_{s_1}^T \dots \int_{s_{l-1}}^T D^H_{s_l} \dots D^H_{s_1} F \,\mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes l} + R^{(N)}, \qquad (6.27)
\end{aligned}$$

where $R^{(N)}$ is the remainder of the series given by

$$R^{(N)} := (-1)^N \int_r^T \int_{s_1}^T \dots \int_{s_{N-1}}^T \tilde{E}\left[D^H_{s_N} \dots D^H_{s_1} F | \mathcal{F}^H_{s_N}\right] \, \mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes N}. \tag{6.28}$$

Next, by the fact that $F = H(B^H_{t_1}, \dots, B^H_{t_J})$ and (2.1), one has, for $s_1 \in (r, T]$,

$$D^H_{s_1} F = D^H_{t_{I_r}} F \chi_{(r, t_{I_r})}(s_1) + D^H_{t_{I_r+1}} F \chi_{(t_{I_r}, t_{I_r+1}]}(s_1) + \dots + D^H_T F \chi_{(t_{J-1}, t_J]}(s_1).$$

In consequence, for $l \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{r \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_l \leq T} D^H_{s_l} \dots D^H_{s_1} F \, \mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes l} &= \sum_{q_{I_r} + \dots + q_J = l} \int_{r \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_l \leq T} D^{H, q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}} \dots D^{H, q_J}_{t_J} F \\ &\times \chi_{([r, t_{I_r}]^{q_{I_r}} \times (t_{I_r}, t_{I_r+1}]^{q_{I_r+1}} \dots \times (t_{J-1}, t_J]^{q_J})}(s_1, \dots, s_l) \, \mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes l}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

It results from (6.29) and Lemma 6.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{r \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_l \leq T} D^H_{s_l} \dots D^H_{s_1} F \, \mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes l} \\ &= \sum_{q_{I_r} + \dots + q_J = l} \sum_{i_{I_r}=0}^{q_{I_r}} \dots \sum_{i_J=0}^{q_J} \prod_{k=I_r}^{J} \frac{(-1)^{i_k} \, (\tilde{t}_k - \tilde{t}_{k-1})^{(q_k - i_k)H}}{(q_k - i_k)!} \\ &\times h_{q_{I_r} - i_{I_r}} \left( \frac{B^H_{\tilde{t}_{I_r}} - B^H_{\tilde{t}_{I_r - 1}}}{(\tilde{t}_{I_r} - \tilde{t}_{I_r - 1})^H} \right) \left( \int_r^{t_{I_r}} \right)^{H, i_{I_r}} \dots h_{q_J - i_J} \left( \frac{B^H_{\tilde{t}_J} - B^H_{\tilde{t}_{J-1}}}{(\tilde{t}_J - \tilde{t}_{J-1})^H} \right) \left( \int_{t_{J-1}}^{t_J} \right)^{H, i_J} \\ &D^{H, q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}} \dots D^{H, q_J}_{t_J} F \, (\, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes (J - I_r + 1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

Therefore, by (6.30) and Lemma 6.5, Theorem 3.3 holds provided that

$$\| R^{(N)} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0. \tag{6.31}$$

Now we show (6.31) holds under Assumption $(\mathcal{A})$. Similar to (6.29),

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(N)} &= (-1)^N \sum_{q_{I_r} + \dots + q_J = N} \int_{r \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_N \leq T} \tilde{E}\left[ D^{H, q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}} \dots D^{H, q_J}_{t_J} F | \mathcal{F}^H_{s_N} \right] \\ &\quad \times \chi_{([r, t_{I_r}]^{q_{I_r}} \times (t_{I_r}, t_{I_r+1}]^{q_{I_r+1}} \dots \times (t_{J-1}, t_J]^{q_J})}(s_1, \dots, s_N) \, \mathrm{d}(B^H_s)^{\otimes N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to prove (6.31), it is sufficient to show the sequence below tends to 0 as $N \to +\infty$: for any $0 \leq r < T$ and $q_{I_r}, \dots, q_J \in \{0, \dots, N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{q_{I_r} + \dots + q_J = N} \left\| \int_{r \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_N \leq T} \tilde{E}\left[ D^{H, q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}} \dots D^{H, q_J}_{t_J} F | \mathcal{F}^H_{s_N} \right] \right. \\ &\left. \times \chi_{([r, t_{I_r}]^{q_{I_r}} \times (t_{I_r}, t_{I_r+1}]^{q_{I_r+1}} \dots \times (t_{J-1}, t_J]^{q_J})}(s_1, \dots, s_N) \, \mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes N} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \\ &\xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{} 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

To this end we define

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(N)}_{[r,T]}(q_{I_r}, \dots, q_J) &:= \int_{r \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_N \leq T} \tilde{E}\left[ D^{H, q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}} \dots D^{H, q_J}_{t_J} F | \mathcal{F}^H_{s_N} \right] \\ &\quad \times \chi_{([r, t_{I_r}]^{q_{I_r}} \times (t_{I_r}, t_{I_r+1}]^{q_{I_r+1}} \dots \times (t_{J-1}, t_J]^{q_J})}(s_1, \dots, s_N) \, \mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes N}. \end{aligned}$$

By a symmetrization of the above integrand,

$$R^{(N)}_{[r,T]}(q_{I_r},\dots,q_J)=\frac{(-1)^N}{N!}\int_{[r,T]^N}\mathcal{H}_N(s_1,\dots,s_N)\,\mathrm{d}\left(B^H_s\right)^{\otimes N}, \tag{6.33}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_N(s_1,\dots,s_N):=\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\tilde{E}\left[D^{H,q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\dots D^{H,q_J}_{t_J}F|\mathcal{F}^H_{s_{\sigma(N)}}\right]\chi_A(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(N)}) \tag{6.34}$$

is symmetric with respect to $s_1,\dots,s_N$ with $S_N$ being the symmetric group on $\{1,2,\dots,N\}$ and

$$A:=\left\{(s_1,\dots,s_N)\in[r,t_{I_r}]^{q_{I_r}}\times\dots\times(t_{J-1},t_J]^{q_J}:\ r\le s_1\le\dots\le s_N\le t_J\right\}.$$

According to Lemma 6.6 (we restrict the processes to nonnegative-time) and Fubini theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\delta^N(\mathcal{H}_N)^2\right]=\sum_{i=0}^N\binom{N}{i}^2 i!E\left\|D^{N-i}\mathcal{H}_N\right\|^2_{L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)^{\otimes(2N-i)}}\\
&=\sum_{i=0}^N\binom{N}{i}^2 i!\int_{\mathbb{R}_+{}^{4N-2i}}E\left[D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s_1,\dots,s_N)D^{H,N-i}_{y_1,\dots,y_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s'_1,\dots,s'_N)\right]\\
&\quad\times\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+r})\\
&\quad(\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}(\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes N}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.35}$$

On the one hand, since $\mathcal{H}_N(s'_1,\dots,s'_N)$ is symmetric, then by the following change of variable in (6.35): $(s'_{N-i+1},\dots,s'_N)\longmapsto(s'_{N-i+\sigma''(1)},\dots,s'_{N-i+\sigma''(i)})$ for any $\sigma''\in S_i$, we get for any $i\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}_+{}^{4N-2i}}E\Big[D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s_1,\dots,s_N)\\
&\quad\times D^{H,N-i}_{y_1,\dots,y_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s'_1,\dots,s'_{N-i},s'_{N-i+\sigma''(1)},\dots,s'_{N-i+\sigma''(i)})\Big]\\
&\quad\times\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+\sigma''(r)})\\
&\quad(\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}(\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes N}\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+{}^{4N-2i}}E\left[D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s_1,\dots,s_N)D^{H,N-i}_{y_1,\dots,y_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s'_1,\dots,s'_N)\right]\\
&\quad\times\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+\sigma''(r)})\\
&\quad(\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}(\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes N}.
\end{aligned}$$

It yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\delta^N(\mathcal{H}_N)^2\right]\\
&=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\binom{N}{i}^2\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{4N-2i}}E\left[D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s_1,\dots,s_N)D^{H,N-i}_{y_1,\dots,y_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s'_1,\dots,s'_N)\right]\\
&\quad\times\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\sum_{\sigma''\in S_i}\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+\sigma''(r)})\\
&\quad(\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}(\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes N}. \qquad (6.36)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by (6.34), the linearity of expectation, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2.9) and the fact that $F=H(B^H_{t_1},\dots,B^H_{t_J})$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s_1,\dots,s_N)D^{H,N-i}_{y_1,\dots,y_{N-i}}\mathcal{H}_N(s'_1,\dots,s'_N)\right]\\
&=\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\sum_{\sigma'\in S_N}E\Big[\tilde{E}\left[D^{H,N-i}_{x_1,\dots,x_{N-i}}D^{H,q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\dots D^{H,q_J}_{t_J}F|\mathcal{F}^H_{s_{\sigma(N)}}\right]\\
&\quad\times\tilde{E}\left[D^{H,N-i}_{y_1,\dots,y_{N-i}}D^{H,q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\dots D^{H,q_J}_{t_J}F|\mathcal{F}^H_{s'_{\sigma'(N)}}\right]\Big]\\
&\quad\times\chi_{[0,s_{\sigma(N)}]^{N-i}\times[0,s'_{\sigma'(N)}]^{N-i}}(x_1,\dots,x_{N-i},y_1,\dots,y_{N-i})\\
&\quad\times\chi_{A^2}(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(N)},s'_{\sigma'(1)},\dots,s'_{\sigma'(N)})\\
&\le E\left[\sup_{p_{I_r}+\dots+p_J=N-i}\left|D^{H,p_{I_r}+q_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\dots D^{H,p_J+q_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2\\
&\quad\times\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\sum_{\sigma'\in S_N}\chi_{[0,T]^{2N-2i}}(x_1,\dots,x_{N-i},y_1,\dots,y_{N-i})\\
&\quad\times\chi_{A^2}(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(N)},s'_{\sigma'(1)},\dots,s'_{\sigma'(N)}). \qquad (6.37)
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging (6.37) into (6.36), taking $w_i=p_i+q_i$ with $i=I_r,\dots,J$ and using the fact that $q_{I_r}+\dots+q_J=N$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\delta^N(\mathcal{H}_N)^2]&\le\sum_{i=0}^{N}\binom{N}{i}^2E\left[\sup_{w_{I_r}+\dots+w_J=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\dots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2\\
&\quad\times\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{4N-2i}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_N}\sum_{\sigma'\in S_N}\chi_{[0,T]^{2N-2i}}(x_1,\dots,x_{N-i},y_1,\dots,y_{N-i})\\
&\quad\times\chi_{A^2}(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(N)},s'_{\sigma'(1)},\dots,s'_{\sigma'(N)})\\
&\quad\times\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\sum_{\sigma''\in S_i}\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+\sigma''(r)})\\
&\quad(\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}(\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes N}. \qquad (6.38)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that, inside the right-hand side of (6.38), for each $(\sigma,\sigma')\in S_N^2$, the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{2N-2i}}\chi_{[0,T]^{2N-2i}}(x_1,\dots,x_{N-i},y_1,\dots,y_{N-i})\chi_{A^2}(s_{\sigma(1)},\dots,s_{\sigma(N)},s'_{\sigma'(1)},\dots,s'_{\sigma'(N)})\\
&\quad\times\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\sum_{\sigma''\in S_i}\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+\sigma''(r)})(\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

returns the same value. Also notice that, for any positive-valued function $f$ and $a \leq b$,

$$\int_{a\leq s_1\leq\ldots\leq s_N\leq b} f(s_1,\ldots,s_N)(\,\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N} \leq \int_{\substack{a\leq s_1\leq\cdots\leq s_{N-i}\leq b,\\ a\leq s_{N-i+1}\leq\cdots\leq s_N\leq b}} f(s_1,\ldots,s_N)(\,\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}.$$

Therefore (6.38) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\delta^N(\mathcal{H}_N)^2] &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N}\binom{N}{i}^2 (N!)^2 E\left[\sup_{w_{I_r}+\ldots+w_J=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\ldots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2\\
&\quad\times\int_B\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\sum_{\sigma''\in S_i}\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+\sigma''(r)})\\
&\quad(\,\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\,\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\,\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}(\,\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes N}\\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N}\binom{N}{i}^2 (N!)^2 E\left[\sup_{w_{I_r}+\ldots+w_J=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\ldots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2\frac{i!}{((N-i)!)^2(i!)^2}\\
&\quad\times\int_{[0,T]^{2N-2i}\times[r,T]^{2N}}\prod_{r=1}^{N-i}\varphi_H(s'_r,x_r)\varphi_H(s_r,y_r)\prod_{r=1}^{i}\varphi_H(s_{N-i+r},s'_{N-i+r})\\
&\quad(\,\mathrm{d}x)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\,\mathrm{d}y)^{\otimes(N-i)}(\,\mathrm{d}s)^{\otimes N}(\,\mathrm{d}s')^{\otimes N}\\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{N}\binom{N}{i}^2\frac{(N!)^2 i!}{((N-i)!)^2(i!)^2}E\left[\sup_{w_{I_r}+\ldots+w_J=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\ldots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2\\
&\quad\times\left(\frac{T^{2H}-r^{2H}+(T-r)^{2H}}{2}\right)^{2N-2i}(T-r)^{2iH}, \qquad (6.39)
\end{aligned}$$

where $B := \{(x,y,s,s')\in[0,T]^{4N-2i}:\ x,y\in[0,T]^{N-i}, r\leq s_1\leq\ldots\leq s_{N-i}\leq T, r\leq s'_1\leq\ldots\leq s'_{N-i}\leq T, r\leq s_{N-i+1}\leq\ldots\leq s_N\leq T, r\leq s'_{N-i+1}\leq\ldots\leq s'_N\leq T\}$. Combining (6.39) and (6.33), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[R^{(N)}_{[r,T]}(q_{I_r},\ldots,q_J)\right]^2 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N}E\left[\sup_{w_{I_r}+\ldots+w_J=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\ldots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2\\
&\quad\times\binom{N}{i}^4\frac{i!}{(N!)^2}\left(\frac{T^{2H}-r^{2H}+(T-r)^{2H}}{2}\right)^{2N-2i}(T-r)^{2iH}. \qquad (6.40)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we upper bound $\|R^{(N)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}$. Observe that

$$\sharp\{(q_{I_r},\ldots,q_J):\ q_{I_r}+\ldots+q_J=N\} = \binom{N+J-I_r}{J-I_r}\leq c\frac{(N+J-1)!}{N!},$$

where $c>0$ is some constant which does not depend on $r$ nor on $N$. And since $I_r\geq 1$,

$$E\left[\sup_{\sum_{j=I_r}^{J}w_j=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_{I_r}}_{t_{I_r}}\ldots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2 \leq E\left[\sup_{\sum_{j=1}^{J}w_j=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_1}_{t_1}\ldots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right]^2.$$

Then, it follows from (6.32), (6.33), (6.40) and the triangle inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|R^{(N)}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} &\leq \sum_{q_{I_r}+\ldots+q_J=N} \left\|R^{(N)}_{[r,T]}(q_{I_r},\ldots,q_J)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \\ &\leq c\frac{(N+J-1)!}{N!}\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left\|\sup_{\sum_{j=1}^{J} w_j=2N-i}\left|D^{H,w_1}_{t_1}\ldots D^{H,w_J}_{t_J}F\right|\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \\ &\quad\times\binom{N}{i}^2\frac{(i!)^{1/2}}{2^{N-i}N!}\left(T^{2H}-r^{2H}+(T-r)^{2H}\right)^{N-i}(T-r)^{iH},\end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 as $N\to+\infty$, thanks to Assumption $(\mathcal{A})$. □

## 6.2 Proof of Proposition 3.7

The following lemmas are useful to the proof of Proposition 3.7.

**Lemma 6.7** *Let $F\in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ be $\mathcal{F}^H_T$-measurable. There exist a sequence of smooth functions $S_n\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a sequence of functions $(f^{(n)}_k)_{n\geq 1,1\leq k\leq n}$ in $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, such that*

$$\sup_{r\in[0,T]}\left\|S_n\left(\int_0^r f^{(n)}_1(s)\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s,\ldots,\int_0^r f^{(n)}_n(s)\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s\right)-G(B^H\chi_{[0,\min\{r,T\}]})\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} 0. \tag{6.41}$$

**Proof of Lemma 6.7.** Since $F$ is $\mathcal{F}^H_T$-measurable, there exists $G$ such that $F=G(B^H\chi_{[0,T]})$. Recall that the function $r\longmapsto G(B^H\chi_{[0,\min\{r,T\}]})$ is continuous over $\mathbb{R}_+$, then by the continuity of fBm and the Weierstrass approximation theorem, there is a sequence of polynomials of fBm

$$p_n(B^H_{\min\{r,T/n\}},B^H_{\min\{r,2T/n\}},\ldots,B^H_{\min\{r,(n-1)T/n\}},B^H_{\min\{r,T\}})$$

such that for all $r\in[0,T]$,

$$\sup_{r\in[0,T]}\left\|p_n(B^H_{\min\{r,T/n\}},\ldots,B^H_{\min\{r,T\}})-G(B^H\chi_{[0,\min\{r,T\}]})\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{}0. \tag{6.42}$$

It is easy to see, for each $n\geq 1$ and $k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and all $r\in[0,T]$, $B^H_{\min\{r,kT/n\}}$ can be approximated in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ as

$$\sup_{r\in[0,T]}\left\|\left(m\left(e^{m^{-1}\int_0^r\chi_{[0,kT/n]}\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s}-1\right)\right)-B^H_{\min\{r,kT/n\}}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\xrightarrow[m\to+\infty]{}0. \tag{6.43}$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{r\in[0,T]}\left\|p_n\left(\left(n\left(e^{n^{-1}\int_0^r\chi_{[0,kT/n]}\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s}-1\right)\right)_{1\leq k\leq n}\right)-G(B^H\chi_{[0,\min\{r,T\}]})\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} 0. \tag{6.44}$$

Observe

$$n\left(e^{n^{-1}\int_0^r\chi_{[0,kT/n]}\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s}-1\right)=-n+ne^{\frac{(kT/n)^{2H}}{2n^2}}e^{n^{-1}\int_0^r\chi_{[0,kT/n]}\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s-\frac{(kT/n)^{2H}}{2n^2}}.$$

Then there exist two sequences of real values $(a_k^{(n)})_{n\geq 1,1\leq k\leq n}$, $(b_k^{(n)})_{n\geq 1,1\leq k\leq n}$ and a sequence of $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ functions $f_k^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i^{(n)} \chi_{[0,iT/n]}/n$, so that

$$\sup_{r\in[0,T]} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{(n)} e^{\int_0^t f_k^{(n)}(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s^H - \frac{1}{2}\|f_k^{(n)}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}} - G(B^H \chi_{[0,\min\{r,T\}]}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

Thus (6.41) is obtained when taking $S_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{(n)} e^{x_k - \|f_k^{(n)}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}/2}$. Notice that the exponential functions $S_n$ form a total subset of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, Lemma 6.7 has been proven. □

The following preliminary result is a consequence of the fractional Wiener Itô chaos expansion theorem, it extends Theorem 3.1.8 in [4] and Theorem 2.6 in [11].

**Theorem 6.8** *For $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, there exists a sequence of real numbers $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$, such that for all $r \geq 0$,*

$$F(\gamma^r) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} c_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r), \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{P}^H), \tag{6.45}$$

*and*

$$\|F\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \alpha! c_\alpha^2, \tag{6.46}$$

*where*

- $\mathcal{J} := \{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m : \ m \geq 1\}$ *is the set of all finite sequence of nonnegative integers;*
- $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r) := \prod_{i=1}^m h_{\alpha_i}(< B^H \chi_{[0,r]}, e_i >)$, *with $(e_i)_{i\geq 1}$ being the orthonormal basis of $L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ given in (3.10) of [4] and $h_{\alpha_i}$ being the Hermite polynomial defined in (2.3);*
- *for $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m)$, $\alpha! := \alpha_1!\alpha_2!\ldots\alpha_m!$.*

**Proof of Theorem 6.8.** Notice that (6.46) is straightforwardly given in Theorem 3.1.8 of [4], thus we only prove (6.45). Assume that $F$ is $\mathcal{F}_T^H$-measurable, then for $r > T$, Theorem 6.8 holds thanks to Theorem 3.1.8 in [4]. Now assume $r \in [0,T]$. Set

$$F_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{(n)} \varepsilon(f_k^{(n)}),$$

for $(a_k^{(n)})_{1\leq k\leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f_k^{(n)} \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. It is shown that (see (3.15) in [4]) for each $f_k^{(n)}$, there exists a sequence of constants $c_{\alpha,k}^{(n)}$ such that

$$\varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})(\gamma^r) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} c_{\alpha,k}^{(n)} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r), \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{P}^H).$$

Therefore Theorem 6.8 holds for $F_n$:

$$F_n(\gamma^r) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{(n)} \varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})(\gamma^r) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{(n)} c_{\alpha,k}^{(n)} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r).$$

In a general case when $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ is arbitrary, we apply Lemma 6.7 to claim that there exists a sequence of functions which is uniformly convergent to $F(\gamma^r)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, with respect to $r \in [0,T]$:

$$F_n(\gamma^r) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{(n)} \varepsilon(f_k^{(n)})(\gamma^r) \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} F(\gamma^r).$$

Also observe that, by (3.15) in [4],

$$F_n = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^{(n)} c_{\alpha,k}^{(n)} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha,$$

and by Example 3.1.9 in [4], the fact that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} F_n = F$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^{(n)} c_{\alpha,k}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\alpha!} E\left[F_n \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha\right] \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} \frac{1}{\alpha!} E\left[F \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha\right].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\gamma^r) &= \lim_{n\to+\infty} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^{(n)} c_{\alpha,k}^{(n)} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \lim_{n\to+\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^{(n)} c_{\alpha,k}^{(n)} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} E\left[F \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha\right] \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r), \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{P}^H). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that Theorem 6.8 is proven, if we take $c_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha!} E[F\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha]$. □

Remark that, unlike $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ in Theorem 3.1.8 of [4], $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r))_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ is not necessarily an orthogonal family. In fact, if $F$ is $\mathcal{F}_T^H$-measurable, then $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r))_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ becomes a family of orthogonal elements in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$, provided $r \geq T$.

**Proof of Proposition 3.7.** Let $(F_M)_{M\geq 1}$ and $F$ satisfy $F_M \xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} F$. By (6.45), there exists a sequence of constants $(c_\alpha^{(M)})_{M\geq 1,\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$ such that for all $r \geq 0$,

$$(F_M - F)(\gamma^r) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} c_\alpha^{(M)} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r), \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{P}^H). \tag{6.47}$$

Notice that, by (6.46),

$$\|F_M - F\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \alpha! (c_\alpha^{(M)})^2 \xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

This together with the fact that $\alpha! > 0$ yields $\lim_{M\to+\infty} c_\alpha^{(M)} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$. From (6.47), we see that for any $M \geq 1$,

$$\|(F_M - F)(\gamma^r)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} = E\left[ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{J}} c_\alpha^{(M)} c_\beta^{(M)} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\beta(\gamma^r) \right] < +\infty. \tag{6.48}$$

Since for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{J}$, $\lim_{M\to+\infty} |c_\alpha^{(M)} c_\beta^{(M)} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\alpha(\gamma^r) \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\beta(\gamma^r)| = 0$. Therefore by (6.48) and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\|(F_M - F)(\gamma^r)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{} 0.$$

Proposition 3.7 is proven. □

## 6.3 Proof of Theorem 3.9

Let $F \in \mathbb{D}^H_{\infty,T}$, since the linear span of $\varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})$ for $f \in L^2_{\varphi_H}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is dense in $\mathbb{D}^H_{\infty,T}$, we first show Theorem 3.9 holds for $F = \varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})$. Applying (3.14) to $F = \varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})$ leads to, for any $v \geq 0$, $r \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{v,r}\left(\varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^T + \int_0^r\right) D^H_u D^H_v \varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,T]}\right)}{2}\left(\int_0^T + \int_0^r\right) f(u)f(v)\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u.\end{aligned} \tag{6.49}$$

Repeatedly using (6.49) implies that for any $v_1, \ldots, v_i \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}\varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})\right)(\gamma^r) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,T]}\right)(\gamma^r)}{2^i}\prod_{k=1}^i\left(\left(\int_0^T + \int_0^r\right) f(u)\,f(v_k)\,\varphi_H(u,v_k)\,\mathrm{d}u\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

Observing that $(v_1,\ldots,v_i) \longmapsto \left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}\left(\varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})\right)\right)(\gamma^r)$ is symmetric, we obtain from (6.50) that, the following series converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=0}^N \int_{r\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}\varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,T]}\right)\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{1}{i!}\int_{[r,T]^i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}\varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,T]}\right)\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i} \\ &= \varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,T]}\right)(\gamma^r)\sum_{i=0}^N\frac{1}{i!}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_r^T\left(\int_0^T+\int_0^r\right) f(u)\,f(v)\,\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^i \\ &\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} \varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,T]}\right)(\gamma^r)\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\|f\chi_{[0,T]}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+} - \frac{1}{2}\|f\chi_{[0,r]}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.51}$$

Now we determine $\varepsilon\left(f\chi_{[0,T]}\right)(\gamma^r)$. It follows from (6.51) and the fact that $\varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})(\gamma^r) = \exp(\int_0^r f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s - \|f\chi_{[0,T]}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}/2)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=0}^N\int_{r\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}\left(\varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})\right)\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i} \\ &\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} e^{\int_0^r f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B^H_s - \frac{1}{2}\|f\chi_{[0,T]}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\|f\chi_{[0,T]}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+} - \frac{1}{2}\|f\chi_{[0,r]}\|^2_{H,\mathbb{R}_+}} \\ &= \varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,r]}).\end{aligned} \tag{6.52}$$

By (6.4) and (6.52), Theorem 3.9 holds for $F = \varepsilon(f\chi_{[0,T]})$.

We turn to the general case when $F \in \mathbb{D}^H_{\infty,T}$ is arbitrary. Since $r \longmapsto F(\gamma^r)$ is continuous, by Lemma 6.7, there exists a sequence of coefficients $(a_i^{(M)})_{1\leq i\leq M}$ such that: for all $r \geq 0$,

$$F_M(\gamma^r) := \sum_{i=1}^M a_i^{(M)}\varepsilon(f_i^{(M)}\chi_{[0,T]})(\gamma^r) \xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)} F(\gamma^r). \tag{6.53}$$

On the one hand, by (6.52) and the linearity of fractional conditional expectation, one gets

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N}\int_{r\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F_M\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}\xrightarrow[N\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\tilde{E}[F_M|\mathcal{F}_r^H]. \tag{6.54}$$

The fact that (see (2.9))

$$E\left|\tilde{E}[F_M-F|\mathcal{F}_r^H]\right|^2\leq E\left|F_M-F\right|^2\xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{}0$$

leads to

$$\tilde{E}[F_M|\mathcal{F}_r^H]\xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H]. \tag{6.55}$$

Therefore, from (6.54) and (6.55) we see the following convergence holds in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$:

$$\lim_{M\to+\infty}\lim_{N\to+\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{N}\int_{r\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F_M\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}=\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H]. \tag{6.56}$$

On the other hand, one can show that the above $\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}$ is a closable operator for almost every $v_1,\ldots,v_i\in[r,T]$. In fact, since for almost every $u\geq 0$, $D_u^H$ is a closable operator from $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$ (see e.g. [4], Page 38), then by the dominated convergence theorem and (6.53), for almost every $v\in[r,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{v,r}F_M&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^T D_u^HD_v^HF_M\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u+\int_0^r D_u^HD_v^HF_M\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\right)\\
&\xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^T D_u^HD_v^HF\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u+\int_0^r D_u^HD_v^HF\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\right)\\
&=\mathcal{A}_{v,r}F.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, for almost all $v_1,\ldots,v_i\in[r,T]$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F_M\xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F.$$

Further, it follows from Proposition 3.7 that, for all $i\geq 1$ and almost all $v_1,\ldots,v_i\in[r,T]$,

$$\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F_M\right)(\gamma^r)\xrightarrow[M\to+\infty]{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F\right)(\gamma^r). \tag{6.57}$$

Now to show the exponential formula in Theorem 3.9 converges, it suffices to demonstrate that the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}\int_{r\leq v_1\leq\ldots\leq v_i\leq T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F\right)(\gamma^r)(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i} \tag{6.58}$$

is convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$. To this end one observes, from the binomial theorem, that for $i\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F\right)(\gamma^r)\\
&=\frac{1}{2^i}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k}\int_{[0,T]^k\times[0,r]^{i-k}}\left(D_{u_i}^HD_{v_i}^H\ldots D_{u_1}^HD_{v_1}^HF\right)(\gamma^r)\prod_{j=1}^{i}\varphi_H(u_j,v_j)(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes i}\\
&\leq\left(\sup_{u_{2i},\ldots,u_1\in[0,T]}\left|\left(D_{u_{2i}}^H\ldots D_{u_1}^HF\right)(\gamma^r)\right|\right)\frac{1}{2^i}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k}\int_{[0,T]^k\times[0,r]^{i-k}}\prod_{j=1}^{i}\varphi_H(u_j,v_j)(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes i}.
\end{aligned}$$

It yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{r\le v_1\le\ldots\le v_i\le T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F\right)(\gamma^r)(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}\\
&\le\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sup_{u_{2i},\ldots,u_1\in[0,T]}\left|\left(D^H_{u_{2i}}\ldots D^H_{u_1}F\right)(\gamma^r)\right|\right)\frac{1}{2^i}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k}\\
&\quad\times\frac{1}{i!}\int_{[r,T]^i\times[0,T]^k\times[0,r]^{i-k}}\varphi_H(u_i,v_i)\ldots\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)(\,\mathrm{d}u)^{\otimes i}(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2^i i!}\sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i}{k}\left(\int_r^T\int_0^T\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^k\left(\int_r^T\int_0^r\varphi_H(u,v)\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v\right)^{i-k}\\
&\quad\times\sup_{u_{2i},\ldots,u_1\in[0,T]}\left|\left(D^H_{u_{2i}}\ldots D^H_{u_1}F\right)(\gamma^r)\right|\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{(T^{2H}-r^{2H})^i}{2^i i!}\left(\sup_{u_{2i},\ldots,u_1\in[0,T]}\left|\left(D^H_{u_{2i}}\ldots D^H_{u_1}F\right)(\gamma^r)\right|\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.59}
$$

Next by applying the triangle inequality to (6.59), one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{r\le v_1\le\ldots\le v_i\le T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F\right)(\gamma^r)(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}\\
&\le\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{(T^{2H}-r^{2H})^i}{2^i i!}\left\|\sup_{u_{2i},\ldots,u_1\in[0,T]}\left|\left(D^H_{u_{2i}}\ldots D^H_{u_1}F\right)(\gamma^r)\right|\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The above series is convergent as $N\to+\infty$, thanks to Assumption $(\mathcal{B})$. Finally, it follows from (6.56), (6.58), the triangle inequality and the monotone convergence theorem that the following convergence holds in $L^2(\mathbb{P}^H)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}\int_{r\le v_1\le\ldots\le v_i\le T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}\\
&=\lim_{N\to+\infty}\lim_{M\to+\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{N}\int_{r\le v_1\le\ldots\le v_i\le T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F_M\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}\\
&=\lim_{M\to+\infty}\lim_{N\to+\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{N}\int_{r\le v_1\le\ldots\le v_i\le T}\left(\mathcal{A}_{v_i,r}\ldots\mathcal{A}_{v_1,r}F_M\right)(\gamma^r)\,(\,\mathrm{d}v)^{\otimes i}\\
&=\tilde{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_r^H].
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore Theorem 3.9 has been proven. □

## 6.4 Computation of (4.2)

Since the first two items of the expansion in (4.2) are relatively easy to obtain, in this part we only show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int_{[0,T]^3\times[v_1,T]}\left(D^H_{u_2}D^H_{v_2}D^H_{u_1}D^H_{v_1}F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)\,\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=\left(\frac{8H^2+18H+5}{4(2H+1)^2(4H+1)}-\frac{\mathcal{B}(2H+1,2H+2)}{2H+1}\right)T^{4H+2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.60}
$$

**Proof of (6.60).** First, from (4.1), we observe that integrating

$$\left(D^H_{u_2}D^H_{v_2}D^H_{u_1}D^H_{v_1}F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)$$

over the following domains gives the same value: $\{(u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2)\in[0,T]^4:\ v_2\geq v_1,\ u_1\geq v_1,\ u_2\geq v_2\}$, $\{(u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2)\in[0,T]^4:\ v_2\geq v_1,\ u_1\geq v_1,\ u_2< v_2\}$, $\{(u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2)\in[0,T]^4:\ v_2\geq v_1,\ u_1< v_1,\ u_2\geq v_2\}$ and $\{(u_1,u_2,v_1,v_2)\in[0,T]^4:\ v_2\geq v_1,\ u_1< v_1,\ u_2< v_2\}$. As a consequence, the left-hand side of (6.60) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int_{[0,T]^3\times[v_1,T]}\left(D^H_{u_2}D^H_{v_2}D^H_{u_1}D^H_{v_1}F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)\,\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=\int\limits_{[0,T]^4\cap[v_2\geq v_1,u_1\leq v_1,u_2\leq v_2]}\left(D^H_{u_2}D^H_{v_2}D^H_{u_1}D^H_{v_1}F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)\,\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=\mathcal{I}_1+\mathcal{I}_2+\mathcal{I}_3,
\end{aligned}\tag{6.61}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1&=\int\limits_{0\leq u_2\leq u_1\leq v_1\leq v_2\leq T}\left(D^H_{u_2}D^H_{v_2}D^H_{u_1}D^H_{v_1}F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)\,\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=4(H(2H-1))^2\\
&\quad\times\int\limits_{0\leq u_2\leq u_1\leq v_1\leq v_2\leq T}(v_1-u_1)^{2H-2}(v_2-u_2)^{2H-2}\big[(T-u_1)(T-v_2)+2(T-v_1)(T-v_2)\big]\\
&\qquad\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=\frac{(2H-1)(H+2)}{(2H+1)(4H+2)(4H-1)}T^{4H+2};
\end{aligned}\tag{6.62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_2&=\int\limits_{0\leq u_1\leq u_2\leq v_1\leq v_2\leq T}\left(D^H_{u_2}D^H_{v_2}D^H_{u_1}D^H_{v_1}F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)\,\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=4(H(2H-1))^2\\
&\quad\times\int\limits_{0\leq u_1\leq u_2\leq v_1\leq v_2\leq T}(v_1-u_1)^{2H-2}(v_2-u_2)^{2H-2}\big[(T-u_2)(T-v_2)+2(T-v_1)(T-v_2)\big]\\
&\qquad\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=\left(\frac{8H^2+14H-1}{4(4H+1)(2H+1)(4H-1)}-\frac{6H+5}{2H+1}\mathcal{B}(2H+1,2H+2)\right)T^{4H+2};
\end{aligned}\tag{6.63}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_3&=\int\limits_{0\leq u_1\leq v_1\leq u_2\leq v_2\leq T}\left(D^H_{u_2}D^H_{v_2}D^H_{u_1}D^H_{v_1}F\right)(\gamma^0)\varphi_H(u_1,v_1)\varphi_H(u_2,v_2)\,\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=4(H(2H-1))^2\\
&\quad\times\int\limits_{0\leq u_1\leq v_1\leq u_2\leq v_2\leq T}(v_1-u_1)^{2H-2}(v_2-u_2)^{2H-2}\big[2(T-u_2)(T-v_2)+(T-v_1)(T-v_2)\big]\\
&\qquad\mathrm{d}v_2\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}v_1\,\mathrm{d}u_1\\
&=\frac{6H+4}{2H+1}\mathcal{B}(2H+1,2H+2)T^{4H+2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.64}$$

Finally, (6.60) follows from (6.61), (6.62), (6.63) and (6.64). □

# References


[1] K. Aase, B. Øksendal, N. Privault, J. Ubøe, White noise generalizations of the Clark-Hausmann-Ocone theorem with application to mathematical finance, Financ. Stoch. 4(4) (2000) 465-496.

[2] R. Barakat, Sums of independent lognormally distributed random variables, J. Opt. Soc. Am 66 (1976) 211-216.

[3] E. Barouch, G. M. Kaufman, M. L. Glasser, On sums of lognormal random variables, Stud. Appl. Math. 75 (1986) 37-55.

[4] Y. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal and T. Zhang, Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications, Series Probability and Its Applications, Springer, 2008.

[5] H. Fink, C. Kluppelberg, M. Zahle, Conditional distributions of processes related to fractional Brownian motion, J.Appl.Probab. 50(1) (2013) 166-183.

[6] E. Fournié, J. M. Lasry, J. Lebuchoux, P. L. Lions, N. Touzi, Some applications of Malliavin calculus to Monte Carlo methods in finance, Financ. Stoch. 3 (1999) 391-412.

[7] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science, Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 244, 1988.

[8] G. Gripenberg, I. Norros, On the prediction of fractional Brownian motion, J. Appl. Probab. 33 (1996) 400-410.

[9] H. Holden, B. Øksendal, J. Ubøe, T. Zhang, Stochastic Partial Differential Equations, Birkhäuser, 1996.

[10] P. Holgate, The lognormal characteristic function, Commun. Stat-theor. M. 18 (1989) 4539-4548.

[11] K. Itô, Multiple Wiener integrals, J. Math. Soc. Japan 3 (1951) 157-164.

[12] S. Jin, H. Schellhorn, A representation theorem for smooth Brownian martingales, unpublished, http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.5502.

[13] A. N. Kolmogorov, Wienersche spiralen und einige andere interesssante kurven im Hilbertschen raum, C. R. (Doklady) Acad. URSS (N. S) 26 (1940) 115-118.

[14] H. H. Kuo, White Noise Distribution Theory, CRC Press, 1996.

[15] R. B. Leipnik, On lognormal random variables: I-the characteristic function, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 32 (1991) 327-347.

[16] B. B. Mandelbrot, J. W. Van Ness, Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications, SIAM Rev. 10 (1968) 422-437.

[17] I. Nourdin, D. Nualart, Central limit theorem for multiple Skorohod integrals, J. Theor. Probab. 23 (2010) 39-64.

[18] D. Nualart, The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[19] V. Pipiras, M. S. Taqqu, Fractional calculus and its connections to fractional Brownian motion, In: P. Doukhan, G. Oppenheim, and M. S. Taqqu, editors, Long-range Dependence: Theory and Applications, Birkhäuser, 2003, pp. 165-201.

[20] G. Samorodnitsky, M. S. Taqqu, Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes, Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.

[21] S. Shreve, Stochastic Calculus for Finance II: Continuous-Time Models, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.